bioRix prepreprintoidobt part/dd/dorgo/10/10/11/20/23/28.08.63/23/25;75 jst vier series joost edd have a 28/20/28 = Topyroghyring to be provided in the print (which swast certified flag dag peeview) esv) is that hauthion denote how has been available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

# Structural characterization of ligand binding and pH-specific enzymatic activity of mouse Acidic Mammalian Chitinase

Roberto Efraín Díaz<sup>1,2</sup>, Andrew K. Ecker<sup>3,4,5</sup>, Galen J. Correy<sup>1</sup>, Pooja Asthana<sup>1</sup>, Iris D. Young<sup>1</sup>,

Bryan Faust<sup>3, 6, 7</sup>, Michael C. Thompson<sup>8</sup>, Ian B. Seiple<sup>3,4</sup>, Steven J. Van Dyken<sup>9</sup>, Richard M.

Locksley<sup>10,11,12</sup>, James S. Fraser<sup>1\*</sup>

## Affiliations

<sup>1</sup> Department of Bioengineering and Therapeutic Sciences, University of California, San

Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94158, USA

<sup>2</sup> Tetrad Graduate Program, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94158,

## USA

<sup>3</sup> Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, University of California, San Francisco, San

## Francisco, CA 94158, USA

<sup>4</sup> Cardiovascular Research Institute, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA

## 94158, USA

<sup>5</sup> Chemistry and Chemical Biology Graduate Program, University of California, San Francisco,

## San Francisco, CA 94158, USA

<sup>6</sup> Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of California, San Francisco, San

## Francisco, CA 94158, USA

<sup>7</sup> Biophysics Graduate Program, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA

## 94158, USA

<sup>8</sup> Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, University of California, Merced, Merced,

CA 95343, USA

bioBioRxiveprepreprintoidottpst//sloi/doigo/10/11/20/22028.08.6329272677bjshierseversiopstesteduku6e2082.20128e Topyoighyrlgohldeolderthis thresprep(which (whickswas cettifestifesd the preeview) esv) is that autificandian denote how has igna great teid River Railice his entered top day the prior imperpretvies ulty's line deade available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

<sup>9</sup> Department of Pathology and Immunology, Washington University School of Medicine in St.

## Louis, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA

<sup>10</sup> Department of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94158,

USA

<sup>11</sup> Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of California, San Francisco, San

Francisco, CA 94143, USA

<sup>12</sup> University of California, San Francisco, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, San Francisco, CA

94143, USA

## Contributions

R.E. Díaz designed and cloned the construct that yielded the apo and holo mAMCase crystals; expressed, purified, and established crystallization conditions; collected X-ray diffraction data and processed data; modeled, refined, and analyzed structures; set up the 4MU-CB kinetic endpoint assay, collected, and analyzed data for the 4MU-CB assay; prepared the manuscript. A.K. Ecker designed the molecular dynamics simulation parameters, performed the simulations, and analyzed the data; developed the catalytic mechanism model for pH 2.0 and 6.5; prepared the manuscript. G.J. Correy vitrified crystals, collected X-ray diffraction data and processed data. P. Asthana collected X-ray diffraction data and processed data. I.D. Young processed the diffraction data. B. Faust assisted with mammalian cell culture for protein expression. M.C. Thompson guided the X-ray crystallography experiments, assisted with X-ray diffraction data processing and protein modeling. I.B. Seiple provided access to the MOE software for MD simulations. S.J. Van Dyken guided the biochemistry work; prepared the manuscript. R. Locksley guided the biochemistry work; prepared the manuscript. J.S. Fraser supervised work; prepared the manuscript; arranged funding.

#### **CRediT Author Statement**

Conceptualization, R.E.D., A.K.E., S.J.V.D., R.M.L., M.C.T., J.S.F. Methodology, R.E.D., A.K.E., B.F., M.C.T., J.S.F. Software, R.E.D. Investigation, R.E.D., A.K.E., G.J.C., P.A. Formal Analysis, R.E.D., A.K.E., G.J.C., I.D.Y., J.S.F. Visualization, R.E.D., A.K.E., J.S.F. Resources, B.F., I.B.S., J.S.F. Data Curation, R.E.D. Supervision, R.E.D., J.S.F. Project Administration, J.S.F. Writing – Original Draft, R.E.D., A.K.E., J.S.F. Writing – Review & Editing, R.E.D., A.K.E., S.J.V.D., R.M.L., J.S.F. Funding Acquisition, R.E.D., J.S.F. bioBlo Rovepreprint indidolt to the the second seco

## Abstract

Chitin is an abundant biopolymer and pathogen-associated molecular pattern that stimulates a host innate immune response. Mammals express chitin-binding and chitin-degrading proteins to remove chitin from the body. One of these proteins, Acidic Mammalian Chitinase (AMCase), is an enzyme known for its ability to function under acidic conditions in the stomach but is also active in tissues with more neutral pHs, such as the lung. Here, we used a combination of biochemical, structural, and computational modeling approaches to examine how the mouse homolog (mAMCase) can act in both acidic and neutral environments. We measured kinetic properties of mAMCase activity across a broad pH range, quantifying its unusual dual activity optima at pH 2 and 7. We also solved high resolution crystal structures of mAMCase in complex with chitin, where we identified extensive conformational ligand heterogeneity. Leveraging these data, we conducted molecular dynamics simulations that suggest how a key catalytic residue could be protonated via distinct mechanisms in each of the two environmental pH ranges. These results integrate structural, biochemical, and computational approaches to deliver a more complete understanding of the catalytic mechanism governing mAMCase activity at different pH. Engineering proteins with tunable pH optima may provide new opportunities to develop improved enzyme variants, including AMCase, for therapeutic purposes in chitin degradation.

bioBook prepreprint idoid bit ptt/skilding/10/11/20/23/28.08.63/23/25/75 is the reversion of stast data be 23/20/28 = Ebpyroight hold be like this printplutich (which swast net to entities of the print is the theory in the stand from the number of the print is the print of the

## Introduction

Chitin, a polymer of  $\beta(1-4)$ -linked N-acetyl-D-glucosamine (GlcNAc), is the second most abundant polysaccharide in nature. Chitin is present in numerous pathogens, such as nematode parasites, dust mites, and fungi<sup>1–3</sup>, and is a pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMP) that activates mammalian innate immunity<sup>4</sup>. To mitigate constant exposure to environmental chitin, mammals have evolved unusual multi-gene loci that are highly conserved and encode chitinresponse machinery, including chitin-binding (chi-lectins) and chitin-degrading (chitinases) proteins. Chitin clearance is particularly important for mammalian pulmonary health, where exposure to and accumulation of chitin can be deleterious. In the absence of AMCase, chitin accumulates in the airways, leading to epithelial stress, chronic activation of type 2 immunity, and age-related pulmonary fibrosis<sup>5,6</sup>.

Humans express two active chitinases as well as five chitin-binding proteins that recognize chitin across many tissues<sup>7</sup>. Mitigating the negative effects of high chitin levels is particularly important for mammalian lung and gastrointestinal health. These tissues have distinct pH, with the lung environment normally ~pH 7.0 and the stomach environment normally ~pH 2.0, which raises the question of how chitin-response machinery has evolved to function optimally across such diverse chemical environments. Acidic Mammalian Chitinase (AMCase) was originally discovered in the stomach and named for its high enzymatic activity under acidic conditions. AMCase is also constitutively expressed in the lungs at low levels and overexpressed upon chitin exposure<sup>6,8,9</sup>, suggesting this single enzyme has evolved to perform its function under vastly different chemical conditions.

AMCase is a member of the glycosyl hydrolase family 18 (GH18)<sup>10</sup>, the members of which hydrolyze sugar linkages through a conserved two-step mechanism where the glycosidic

oxygen is protonated by an acidic residue and a nucleophile adds into the anomeric carbon leading to elimination of the hydrolyzed product (**Figure 1**A). This mechanism is corroborated by structures of different GH18 chitinases, most notably *S. marcescens* Chitinase A (PDB ID: 1FFQ)<sup>11</sup>. In inhibitor-bound structures for human AMCase (hAMCase; PDB ID: 3FY1), interactions mimicking the retentive, post-cleavage intermediate state pre-hydrolysis of the oxazolinium intermediate are adopted by the nonhydrolyzable analogs<sup>12,13</sup>. Unlike the nonhydrolyzable inhibitors, we expect that the oxazolinium intermediate formed from chitin will reopen into the reducing-end GlcNAc monomer unit upon the nucleophilic addition of water.

Biochemical studies of mouse AMCase (mAMCase) measuring relative activity levels demonstrated a global maximum activity at acidic pH, but also a broad second local optimum near neutral pH<sup>14</sup>. This result suggested that mAMCase exhibits two distinct pH optima, which is unlike most enzymes that exhibit a shift or broadening of enzymatic activity across conditions<sup>15–17</sup>. For mAMCase the global maximum near pH 2.0 resembles the chemical environments of the stomach and the local maximum near pH 7.0 is similar to the environment of the lung. These two pH optima in the same enzyme suggest that mAMCase may employ different mechanisms to perform its function in different environments<sup>18</sup>. In contrast, the human homolog has maximal activity at pH 4.6 with sharply declining activity at more acidic and basic pH<sup>18,19</sup>. This optimum corresponds with the pH of lung tissue in pulmonary fibrosis and other disease contexts, suggesting that hAMCase may have been selected for its ability to clear chitin from the lungs and restore healthy lung function.

The activity of mAMCase has been previously measured through endpoint experiments with limited insight into the rate of catalysis, substrate affinity, and potential substrate inhibition<sup>18</sup>. While the pH profile of mAMCase has been reported as a percentage of maximum

activity at a given pH, it is unclear how the individual kinetic parameters ( $K_M$  or  $k_{cat}$ ) vary<sup>14</sup>. These gaps have made it challenging to define the mechanism by which mAMCase shows distinct enzymatic optima at different pHs. One possibility is that mAMCase undergoes structural rearrangements to support this adaptation. Alternatively AMCase may have subtly different mechanisms for protonating the catalytic glutamic acid depending on the environmental pH .

In this work, we explore these hypotheses by employing biophysical, biochemical, and computational approaches to observe and quantify mAMCase function at different pHs. We measured the mAMCase hydrolysis of chitin, which revealed significant activity increase under more acidic conditions compared to neutral or basic conditions. To understand the relationship between catalytic residue protonation state and pH-dependent enzyme activity, we calculated the theoretical pKa of the active site residues and performed molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of mAMCase at various pHs. We also directly observed conformational and chemical features of mAMCase between pH 4.74 to 5.60 by solving X-ray crystal structures of mAMCase in complex with oligomeric GlcNAc<sub>n</sub> across this range. Together these data support a model in which mAMCase employs two different mechanisms for obtaining a proton in a pH-dependent manner, providing a refined explanation as to how this enzyme recognizes its substrate in disparate environments.

## Results

## New assay confirms broad pH profile for mAMCase

Prior studies have focused on relative mAMCase activity at different pH<sup>18,20</sup>, limiting the ability to define its enzymological properties precisely and quantitatively across conditions of interest. To expand upon these previous observations of dual optima in mAMCase activity at pH 2.0 and 7.0, we measured mAMCase activity *in vitro*. We developed an approach that would enable direct measurement of  $k_{cat}$  and  $K_M$  for mAMCase across a broad pH range by modifying a prior assay that continuously measures mAMCase-dependent breakdown of a fluorogenic chitin analog, 4-methylumbelliferone (4MU) conjugated chitobioside. To overcome the pH-dependent fluorescent properties of 4MU-chitobioside, we reverted the assay into an endpoint assay, which allowed us to measure substrate breakdown across different pH<sup>21</sup> (**Supplemental Figure 1**A).

We conducted our endpoint assay across a pH range of 2.0 to 7.4 to reflect the range of physiological conditions at its *in vivo* sites of action (**Figure 1B**; Data available at doi: 10.5281/zenodo.7968293). We then derived the Michaelis-Menten parameters at each pH value measured (**Supplemental Figure 2**A-C; Data available at doi: 10.5281/zenodo.7968293). We found that mAMCase has maximum activity at pH 2.0 with a secondary local maximum at pH 6.5, pointing to a bimodal distribution of activity across pH. This is consistent with the relative activity measurements previously performed on mAMCase, but distinct from a single broad pH range, as has been observed for  $k_{cat}$  of hAMCase<sup>14,18</sup>. The two maxima at pH 2.0 and 6.5 are an approximate match the pH at the primary *in vivo* sites of mAMCase expression, the stomach and lungs, respectively<sup>18</sup>. These observations raise the possibility that mAMCase, unlike other AMCase homologs, may have evolved an unusual mechanism to accommodate multiple physiological conditions.

We also found that low pH primarily improves the rate of mAMCase catalysis 6.3-fold ( $k_{cat}$ ; Figure 1C), whereas  $K_M$  (Figure 1D) worsens 2.5-fold from pH 7.4 to pH 2.0. Similar to chitotriosidase the other active chitinase in mammals and also a GH18 chitinase, we observe an apparent reduction in the rate of mAMCase catalysis across all pH values measured at 4MUchitobioside concentrations above 80  $\mu$ M, which suggests that mAMCase may be subject to product inhibition<sup>22</sup>. The underlying mechanism for the observed product inhibition may be that mAMCase can transglycosylate the products, as has been previously observed at pH 2.0 and  $7.0^{23}$ . This potential product inhibition leads to a systematic underprediction of rates by the Michaelis-Menten model at high substrate concentrations. The catalytic efficiency  $(k_{cat}/K_M)$  of mAMCase may not capture the effects of product inhibition given that these constants reflect sub-saturating substrate concentrations. Independent of the potential for product inhibition, the trend that mAMCase has highest k<sub>cat</sub> at very low pH and another local optimum at more neutral pH is clear. We hypothesize that these activity data resemble two overlapping activity distributions, suggesting that the rate at lower pH activity is dependent on the concentration of free protons in solution and that the higher pH optimum results from a distinct mechanism (Figure 1E).

## Characterization of mAMCase ligand occupancy and conformational heterogeneity.

Our biochemical analyses led us to hypothesize that the pH-dependent activity profile of mAMCase is linked to the mechanism by which catalytic residues are protonated. Previous structural studies on AMCase have focused on interactions between inhibitors like methylallosamidin and the catalytic domain of the protein. We built on these efforts by solving the structure of mAMCase in complex with chitin oligomers of varying length (GlcNAc<sub>n</sub>). We

used chitin oligomers because they are chemically identical to polymeric chitin found in nature but are soluble and therefore more amenable for co-crystallization than crystalline chitin is. We successfully determined high resolution X-ray crystal structures of the apo mAMCase catalytic domain at pH 5.0 and 8.0 (PDB ID: 8FG5, 8FG7) and holo mAMCase catalytic domain between pH 4.74 to 5.60 in complex with either GlcNAc<sub>2</sub> or GlcNAc<sub>3</sub> (PDB ID: 8GCA, 8FRC, 8FR9, 8FRB, 8FRD, 8FRG, 8FRA; **Supplemental Figure 4**A,B; **Table 1**).

Across these different datasets we observed complex ligand density in the active site of mAMCase. In all of our datasets, we observed continuous ligand density that resembled higher order chitin oligomers (e.g. GlcNAc<sub>4</sub>, GlcNAc<sub>5</sub>, or GlcNAc<sub>6</sub>). This observation was confusing given that these structures were co-crystallized with either GlcNAc<sub>2</sub> or GlcNAc<sub>3</sub>. For example, due to the continuous nature of ligand density observed in our mAMCase-GlcNAc<sub>3</sub> co-crystal structure at pH 4.74 (PDB ID: 8GCA, chain A), we initially modeled hexaacetyl-chitohexaose (H-(GlcNAc)<sub>6</sub>-OH) into the -4 to +2 sugar-binding subsites, using the nomenclature for sugar-binding subsites from Davies et al.<sup>24</sup>. This nomenclature defines the sugar-binding subsites as *-n* to +*n*, with *-n* corresponding to the non-reducing end and +*n* the reducing end.

We next continued with a modeling approach that replaced higher order oligomer models with models that only used the chemically defined oligomers present in the crystallization drop. To accomplish this modeling of different binding poses, we placed multiple copies of these oligomers consistent with an interpretation of extensive conformational heterogeneity (**Supplemental Figure 5**D). In one sample co-crystallized with GlcNAc<sub>3</sub> at pH 4.74 (PDB ID: 8GCA, chains A-B), we identified ligand density that was consistent with GlcNAc<sub>2</sub>, suggesting that some hydrolysis occurs in the crystal. The resulting model includes compositional heterogeneity as there are both types of oligomer present.

Therefore, across all of our datasets, we modeled a combination of ligand binding events consisting of overlapping GlcNAc<sub>2</sub> or GlcNAc<sub>3</sub> molecules at each sugar-binding site, i.e. GlcNAc<sub>2</sub> ResID 401 Conf. A occupied subsites -3 to -2 while GlcNAc<sub>2</sub> ResID 401 Conf. C occupied subsites -2 to -1. By providing each ligand molecule with an alternative conformation ID, this allowed both occupancies and B-factors to be refined (Figure 2A,B,C; additional details in Methods). Across these different datasets, we observed ligand density for different combinations of occupancy over the -4 to +2 sugar-binding subsites (Figure 2A). While modeling chito-oligomers into strong electron density, we observed strong positive difference density between sugar-binding subsites near the C2 N-acetyl and the C6' alcohol moieties. Using the non-crystallographic symmetry (NCS) "ghost" feature in Coot, we were then able to observe that the positive difference density between ligand subsites in one chain could be explained by the dominant ligand pose observed in another associated crystallographic chain, suggesting the presence of a low-occupancy binding events. This observation led to the discovery that  $GlcNAc_n$ occupies intermediate subsites, which we label n+0.5, continuing to follow the nomenclature established by Davies et al., in addition to canonical sugar-binding subsites (Figure 2B)<sup>24</sup>.

In addition to identifying novel n+0.5 sugar-binding subsites, we also observed strong positive difference density above the +1 subsite, which we label +1'. During ligand refinement, we observed density for both the  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -1,4-linked GlcNAc<sub>2</sub> anomers in the active site. This unexpected configurational heterogeneity, which is observable because of the high resolution of our datasets (1.30 - 1.95 Å), likely formed as a result of equilibration between the two anomers through an oxocarbenium close-ion-pair intermediate. The ability for the active site to accommodate and form interactions with these ligands is important given its role in degrading crystalline chitin, a complex and often recalcitrant substrate that likely requires multiple binding events by AMCase before degradation can occur. We did not identify consistent trends between the contents of the crystallization drop (pH, substrate identity, and substrate concentration), the crystal properties (space group, unit cell dimensions, resolution), and the resulting density in the active site; however, as outlined below, the protein conformations and substrate states are highly correlated. Collectively, modeling a combination of ligand binding modes, linkages, and anomers allowed us to interpret the resulting coordinates in a more complete model of how mAMCase coordinates and stabilizes polymeric chitin for catalysis (**Figure 2**; **Supplemental Figure 5D**; **Supplemental Figure 6**A; **Table 2**).

#### Structural characterization of mAMCase catalytic triad $D_1 x D_2 x E$ .

We interpreted the protein-ligand interactions along the canonical binding sites (**Supplemental Figure 5**C,D). As with other chitinases, we observe a network of tryptophans consisting of Trp31, Trp360, Trp99, and Trp218 stabilizing the positioning of the ligand into the binding site through a series of H- $\pi$  interactions with the -3, -1, +1, and +2 sugars, respectively<sup>25–27</sup>. These interactions are primarily with the axial hydrogens of the respective sugars but also include the N-H of the -3 and +1 sugar and the 6' O-H of the +2 sugar (**Supplemental Figure 6B**). Further, we observe Asp213 accepting a hydrogen bond with the 6' OH of the -1 sugar and Tyr141 acting as a hydrogen bond donor to the 6' OH of the +1 sugar. These two hydrogen bonds likely orient the ligand in the catalytically competent pose where the glycosidic oxygen bridging the -1 and +1 sugars is 2.8 Å away from the acidic Glu140 -OH (**Supplemental Figure 6C**). With this proximity, Glu140 can act as a hydrogen bond donor to the strained (122° bond angle) bridging oxygen forming a hydrogen bond to promote the formation of an oxazolinium intermediate and subsequent cleavage of the glycosidic bond. We observed two interactions with the sugar in the -4 position supporting the ligand orientation far from the enzymatic active site.

# 

Residues involved in ligand binding and catalysis adopt similar side chain conformations in the absence of ligand (PDB ID: 8FG5, 8FG7), suggesting that the active site is organized prior to ligand binding and not subject to ligand-stabilized conformational changes.

We hypothesize that the +1' subsite is primarily occupied by the product GlcNAc<sub>2</sub> prior to its displacement from the active site by subsequent sliding of polymeric chitin (**Figure 2B**)<sup>28</sup>. At this position, Trp99 and Trp218 engage in CH- $\pi$  interactions with the +1 and +2 sugars, respectively while Asp213 forms a new H-bond with the carbonyl oxygen and Tyr141 retains an H-bond with the hydroxyl moiety on the +1 sugar. We are able to observe this post-catalysis binding mode due to the stabilizing interactions between GlcNAc<sub>2</sub> and Asp213, Trp99, Trp218, and Tyr141 (**Supplemental Figure 6**C). Together, these observations highlight the dynamic chitin binding modes within the mAMCase active site. Collectively, the observed non-canonical binding modes of these sugars is consistent with previous observations that once bound to polymeric chitin, GH18 chitinases engage in chain sliding from the reducing end of the substrate following catalysis<sup>29</sup>.

In contrast to the largely static interactions outlined above, we observed conformational heterogeneity in the catalytically critical Asp138 residue, suggesting flipping between two equally stable states facing each of the other two residues in the catalytic triad (Asp136 or Glu140)<sup>30</sup>. Using Ringer, we confirmed that there are two Asp138 conformations and only a single conformation for Asp136 and Glu140 (**Supplemental Figure 7**; Data available at doi: 10.5281/zenodo.7758815)<sup>31</sup>. Across 20 chains from the datasets derived from different pH and co-crystallization conditions (**Table 1**), we quantified whether Asp138 is preferentially oriented towards Asp136 (*inactive* conformation) or preferentially oriented towards Glu140 (*active* conformation).

Prior work has suggested that Asp138 orients itself towards Glu140 to promote stabilization of the substrate's twisted boat conformation in the -1 subsite. Therefore, we explored if Asp138 conformation is correlated with ligand pose<sup>13,30,32,33</sup>. As previously mentioned, we assign alternative conformation IDs to each ligand molecule based on its subsite positioning. We calculate subsite occupancy by taking the sum of all alternative ligand conformations at a given subsite, i.e. the occupancy of subsite -2 is equal to the occupancies of GlcNAc<sub>2</sub> ResID 401 Conf. A and GlcNAc<sub>2</sub> ResID 401 Conf. C (Figure 3A; see Methods for additional details; Data available at doi: 10.5281/zenodo.7905828). We observe a strong positive correlation between Asp138 conformation and ligand pose only in the -2 to +1 subsites (Figure **3**B; **Table 2**). When the -1 subsite is at least 50% occupied, Asp138 prefers the *active* conformation (up towards Glu140). In this orientation, Asp138(HD2) forms a H-bond with Glu140(OE1) (2.6 Å) while Asp138(OD1) forms an H-bond with the amide nitrogen of GlcNAc in the -1 subsite (2.6 Å). Glu140(OE2) is 2.8 Å away from the glycosidic oxygen bridging the -1 and +1 sugars. We suspect that the inverse correlation between Asp138 active conformation and the -2.5 and -1.5 sugar-binding subsites represents ligand translocation towards the catalytic residues, prior to enzyme engagement with the ligand. When chitin occupies a canonical sugarbinding subsite, AMCase forms stabilizing H-bonds with the ligand prior to catalysis. These observations are consistent with the proposed catalytic mechanism where upon protonation, the equilibrium between Asp138 conformations shifts to favor the *active* conformation (towards Glu140) where Asp138 stabilizes Glu140 in proximity to the glycosidic oxygen prior to catalysis.

## Theoretical pKa calculations of mAMCase catalytic triad $D_1 x D_2 x E$ .

Based on the dual pH optimum observed in our kinetics assay and the conformational heterogeneity of Asp138, we calculated the theoretical pKa for catalytic  $D_1xD_2xE$  motif on mAMCase using PROPKA 3.0. PROPKA does not account for alternative conformations in its calculations, so we split our protein models to contain single conformations of the catalytic residues Asp136, Asp138, and Glu140. While PROPKA does account for ligands in its calculations, running the calculations with different alternative conformations of GlcNAc<sub>2</sub> or GlcNAc<sub>3</sub> had little effect on the calculated pKas for the active site residues (Supplemental Figure 4; Data available at doi: 10.5281/zenodo.7905863). Despite the observed ligand heterogeneity, we observe a relatively narrow range of pKa values for the catalytic triad. This suggests that the pKa of the catalytic residues is primarily influenced by the position of nearby residues and that the placement of solvent or ligand molecules has little effect. When Asp138 is oriented towards Asp136 (the inactive conformation), the pKa of the catalytic residues are 2.0, 13.0, 7.7 for Asp136, Asp138, and Glu140 respectively. Similarly, when Asp138 is oriented towards Glu140 (the active conformation), the pKa of the catalytic residues are 3.4, 12.4, 6.4 for Asp136, Asp138, and Glu140 respectively. Taking this information together, it is clear that the pKa of Asp136 and Glu140 are both affected by the orientation of Asp138 (Figure 4A; Table 3; Data available at doi: 10.5281/zenodo.7905863). The pKa of Asp136 suggests that at pH > 3.4, Asp136 is deprotonated, and its conjugate base is more stable. We observe a similar pKa distribution for the catalytic triad in human AMCase and other GH18 chitinases with publicly available structures and optimum pH activity profiles (Figure 4A-C).

Given the pH range of our crystallization conditions, we expect that Asp136 is deprotonated while Asp138 and Glu140 are protonated. We hypothesize that this anionic aspartate is capable of forming a strong ionic hydrogen bond interaction with Asp138 orienting it in the *inactive* conformation. When Asp136 is protonated to its aspartic acid state at pH < 3.2, we expect that it is only capable of forming the relatively weaker neutral hydrogen bond with Asp138 lowering the favorability of the *inactive* conformation.

Additionally, when interpreting the pKa of Glu140, we hypothesize that under acidic conditions (pH 2.0 - 6.5), Glu140 is capable of obtaining its catalytic proton from solution. The accessibility of Asp138's proton to Glu140 progressively decreases as pH increases from pH 2.0 to 6.5. In contrast, under neutral and basic conditions (pH 6.0 - 7.4), Asp138 can shuttle a proton from Asp136 by rotating about its C $\alpha$ -C $\beta$  bond to supply Glu140 with the proton. Glu140 subsequently uses the proton that it obtained from Asp138 to protonate the glycosidic bond in chitin, promoting hydrolysis as previously described in several chitinases<sup>30,34,35</sup>. While this mechanism could explain how mAMCase has a local optimum at pH 2.0, it is insufficient to explain why we do not observe a similar optimum in hAMCase. The narrow range of pKa values across GH18 chitinases suggest that differences in optimal activity by pH may be influenced by other factors, such as protein stability, conformational dynamics, or coordination of distal GlcNAc residues by ionizable residues<sup>36</sup>.

#### Molecular Dynamics

Based on our enzymology results suggesting the possibility of differential activity between acidic pH (pH 2.0) and near neutral pH (pH 6.5) and theoretical pKa calculations of the active site residues, we performed short atomistic molecular dynamics simulations to interrogate the movement of catalytic residues. While all the crystal structures we obtained were collected in a narrow acidic pH range between 4.74 - 5.60, we ran simulations at pH 2.0 and pH 6.5, ensuring that the protonation states of side chains populated by 3DProtonate were supported by our PROPKA calculations (Data available at doi: 10.5281/zenodo.7758821)<sup>37,38</sup>. These simulations allowed us to investigate our hypothesis that at neutral pH mAMCase enzymatic activity is dependent on the protonation state of Asp136. We performed simulations using protein models that contain Asp138 in either the *inactive* (down towards Asp136; *"inactive* simulation") or *active* conformation (up towards Glu140; *"active* simulation") to avoid bias from the starting conformation.

In all our simulations, we observe that Glu140 orients its acidic proton towards the glycosidic bond between the -1 and +1 sugars. The distance between the acidic proton of Glu140 and the glycosidic oxygen fluctuates between 1.5 to 2.3 Å for the duration of the simulation, with a median distance of 1.8 Å. The positioning of this proton is necessary to allow for the oxocarbenium cleavage of the glycosidic bond and recapitulates the positioning of Glu140 in our experimental structures. In simulations initiated from the *inactive* conformation at pH 2.0, we observe that Asp 138 is readily able to rotate about its  $C\alpha$ -C $\beta$  bond to adopt the *active* conformation forming the same hydrogen bond between Asp138 and Glu140. In contrast, from simulations at pH 6.5 started from the Asp138 *inactive* conformation, we observe that Asp138 remains hydrogen bonded to Asp136 throughout the duration of the simulation (*inactive* conformation; **Figure 5**A-C; Data available at doi: 10.5281/zenodo.7758821). This series of simulations allowed us to better visualize which catalytic side chains are dynamic and which catalytic side chains positioning are well maintained to help build our catalytic mechanism.

bioBook prepreprint idoid bit ptt/skilding/10/11/20/23/28.08.63/23/25/75 is the reversion of stast data be 23/20/28 = Ebpyroight hold be like this printplutich (which swast net to entities of the print is the theory in the stand from the number of the print is the print of the

## Discussion

mAMCase is an unusual enzyme that can bind and degrade polymeric chitin in very different pH environments. We hypothesized that mAMCase employs different mechanisms to protonate its catalytic glutamate under acidic and neutral pH. Through our analysis, we hypothesize that the observed ligand and catalytic residue densities and occupancies in our crystal structures are consistent with the previously proposed GH18 catalytic mechanism<sup>39</sup>. By modeling GlcNAc<sub>2</sub> as sequentially overlapping ligands in alternative conformations (**Figure 2**), we are able to visualize each step in the proposed catalytic cycle of mAMCase (**Figure 6**). This mechanism, which has been observed in other glycoside hydrolases, occurs when the glycosidic oxygen is protonated by an acidic residue and a nucleophile adds into the anomeric carbon leading to elimination of the hydrolyzed product.

Based on our crystal data and simulations, we envision that at neutral pH, Asp136 is deprotonated (pKa = 2.1) forming an ionic hydrogen bond with Asp138 (pKa = 13.1). In contrast, at low pH Asp136 is protonated, yet continues to form a weaker hydrogen bond with Asp138 (**Figure 6** - Step 1). Glu140 (pKa = 7.7) is protonated across the enzyme's active pH range. Upon ligand binding (**Figure 6** - Step 2), Glu140 stabilizes the sugar at the -1 subsite. The ligand then translocates forward by one GlcNAc<sub>2</sub> to occupy the +1 and +2 subsites (**Figure 6** -Step 3). At neutral pH, Asp136 is predominantly deprotonated. When protonation of Asp136 occurs, this destabilizes the Asp136-Asp138 hydrogen bond and allows Asp138 to rotate about its C $\alpha$ -C $\beta$  bond into the *active* conformation (towards Glu140). However, since Asp136 is always protonated at low pH, the Asp136-Asp138 hydrogen bond is less energetically favorable, therefore Asp138 can adopt the *active* conformation more readily (**Figure 6**- Step 4).

Once Asp138 is in the *active* conformation, Asp138 and Glu140 form stabilizing interactions with the N-acetyl group of the ligand, priming it to become the nucleophile required for catalysis (Figure 6 - Step 4). Glu140 provides its ionizable proton to the ligand's glycosidic oxygen, increasing the electrophilicity of the anomeric carbon (Figure 6 - Step 5)<sup>40</sup>. The carbonyl oxygen of the -1 sugar N-acetyl group then nucleophilically adds into the anomeric carbon from the  $\beta$  face to cleave the glycosidic bond, forming the oxazolinium intermediate. At neutral pH, the resultant deprotonated Glu140 is then re-protonated through proton shuttling in which Asp136 donates its proton to Asp138 and Asp138 donates its ionizable proton to Glu140. At acidic pH we propose that Glu140 can be directly re-protonated by a proton in solution (Figure 6 - Step 5). At a neutral pH this leads to Asp138 returning to an *inactive* conformation. However, at low pH Asp136 and Glu140 are both protonated due to the high concentration of protons in solution, allowing Asp138 to remain in the *active* conformation and form stabilizing interactions with the N-acetyl group on the ligand. The oxazolinium intermediate is then hydrolyzed by a water molecule, generating a GlcNAc<sub>2</sub> catalysis product in the +1 and +2 sugar subsites (Figure 6 - Step 6). The GlcNAc<sub>2</sub> product dissociates from the +1 to +2 sugar subsites, then the ligand undergoes "decrystallization" and "chain sliding" before re-entering the catalytic cycle, assuming AMCase is bound to a longer polymer such as its natural substrate<sup>29</sup>. At neutral pH this catalytic mechanism is reset with Asp138 in its *inactive* conformation, however at low pH the catalytic mechanism is reset with Asp138 already in the *active* conformation. This could lead to faster rates of catalysis at lower pH compared to the neutral pH mechanism, providing a possible explanation for the observed changes in rate at varying pH.

While our model helps us propose a plausible explanation of why mAMCase is highly active at pH 2, it does not explain why hAMCase has a single activity optimum around pH 5.

Prior work by Kashimura et al. has demonstrated that E. coli-expressed mAMCase is remarkably stable across a broad pH range<sup>41</sup>. Similar experiments have not yet been performed on hAMCase. Olland et al. previously identified Arg145, His208, and His269 as important for pH specificity<sup>13</sup>. Seibold et al. argued that hAMCase isoforms containing asthma protective mutations N45D, D47N, and M61R, which are wildtype in mAMCase, may influence the pKa of Asp138-Glu140 by undergoing structural rearrangement<sup>18</sup>. Tabata et al. identified mutations across the course of evolution in Carnivora that were inactivating or structurally destabilizing (loss of S-S bonds)<sup>42</sup>. To this end, we explored sequence differences between mouse and human AMCase homologs for insight into why mAMCase has such high enzymatic activity at pH 2.0 and 6.5 compared to hAMCase. We identified ionizable residues on mAMCase that likely contribute to its overall stability and are not present in hAMCase. Mutations Lys78Gln, Asp82Gly, and Lys160Gln result in the loss of surface-stabilizing salt bridges in hAMCase and may contribute to its reduced activity at more acidic pH. It is likely that the dual pH optima of mAMCase is intrinsic to the catalytic mechanism, where Glu140 can be protonated directly from solution (at low pH) or through proton shuttling across the catalytic triad (at neutral pH; Figure 1E). However, hAMCase is likely too destabilized at low pH to observe an increase in  $k_{cat}$ . hAMCase may be under less pressure to maintain high activity at low pH due to humans' noninsect-based diet, which contains less chitin compared to other mammals with primarily insect-based diets<sup>42</sup>.

Together, these data demonstrate the importance of using structural and biochemical assays to develop our understanding of the catalytic mechanism governing mAMCase activity. Using biochemical and structural methods, we have developed a detailed model of how AMCase fulfills its role in chitin recognition and degradation. Small chitin oligomers are ideal for measuring the ability of AMCase to cleave  $\beta$ -1,4-glycosidic linkages between GlcNAc units, but

these small oligomers do not represent the complex crystalline chitin encountered by AMCase in the lung. It is difficult to extrapolate the effects we observe using small chitin oligomers to binding ( $k_{on}$ ), processivity ( $k_{proc}$ ), catalysis ( $k_{cat}$ ), or product release ( $k_{off}$ ) on the native large and heterogeneous oligomeric substrates. In the future, we hope to be able to directly visualize the mAMCase-chitin interactions and characterize each step of the catalytic mechanism including decrystallization, degradation, product release, and chain sliding (also known as processivity).

To further understand the impact of pH on the structure of AMCase, it will be necessary to crystallize AMCase across a broader pH range that may expose conformational and structural changes that contribute to mAMCase's unique pH activity profile. Further, neutron diffraction crystallography could provide novel critical insight into the placement of protons across the active site and help to develop a more complete model of mAMCase's catalytic mechanism at different pH. Understanding the mechanistic basis behind an enzyme's dual pH optima will enable us to engineer proteins with tunable pH optima to develop improved enzyme variants for therapeutic purposes for diseases, such as asthma and lung fibrosis. bioRixRxivepretrivatiolbit/bst//slok/dorigo/10/11/20/22028.08.63/29/257/thjsthiereevisiopstesteduke/62/282/20/28e Cbeyorighyrlightloolidenthis threspretrivation (whiveaswast cettorevifed depreteriew)esv) is the transmission of the constraint of the principal straint of t

## Tables

## Table 1 | Data collection and refinement statistics.

Statistics	for the m	ignest res	oration sin		wii ili par	entileses.			
Dataset	Apo at 100 K	Apo at 277 K	Holo with GlcNAc3 at pH 4.74	Holo with GlcNAc2 at pH 4.91	Holo with GlcNAc2 at pH 5.08	Holo with GlcNAc2 at pH 5.25	Holo with GlcNAc2 at pH 5.25	Holo with GlcNAc2 at pH 5.43	Holo with GlcNAc2 at pH 5.60
PDB ID	8FG5	8FG7	8GCA	8FRC	8FR9	8FRB	8FRD	8FRG	8FRA
Diffractio n Data DOI	10.18430/M 38FG5	10.18430/M 38FG7	10.18430/M3 8GCA	10.18430/M 38FRC	10.18430/M 38FR9	10.18430/M 38FRB	10.18430/M 38FRD	10.18430/M 38FRG	10.18430/M 38FRA
рН	5.00	8.00	4.74	4.91	5.08	5.25	5.25	5.43	5.60
Ligand	N/A	N/A	GlcNAc3	GlcNAc2	GlcNAc2	GlcNAc2	GlcNAc2	GlcNAc2	GlcNAc2
[Ligand] mM	N/A	N/A	12.67	29.00	19.33	19.33	29.00	29.00	19.33
Wavelengt h	1.117	1.116	1.116	1.116	1.116	1.116	1.116	1.116	1.116
Resolution range	46.8 - 1.3 (1.346 - 1.3)	50.88 - 1.64 (1.699 - 1.64)	61.83 - 1.7 (1.761 - 1.7)	69.52 - 1.92 (1.989 - 1.92)	69.59 - 1.5 (1.554 - 1.5)	57.29 - 1.7 (1.761 - 1.7)	58.67 - 1.68 (1.74 - 1.68)	69.59 - 1.741 (1.803 - 1.741)	86.27 - 1.95 (2.02 - 1.95)
Space group	P 1 21 1	P 21 21 21	P 21 21 2	P 2 21 21	P 2 21 21	P 21 21 21	P 2 21 21	P 21 21 2	P 21 21 21
Unit cell (length)	60.04 42.25 67.41	63.6466 71.8436 84.6724	76.0664 91.7195 106.132	70.9333 92.6896 105.123	71.1131 92.6412 105.423	91.9263 106.963 146.492	70.755 92.451 104.99	92.8934 105.041 70.8116	92.0659 106.705 146.57
Unit cell (angles)	90 95.18 90	90 90 90	90 90 90	90 90 90	90 90 90	90 90 90	90 90 90	90 90 90	90 90 90
Total reflections	2099252 (194837)	620486 (61796)	516529 (48842)	339863 (33874)	702566 (63651)	1010525 (98078)	499250 (48902)	420425 (37138)	691049 (67775)
Unique reflections	83050 (8251)	47999 (4678)	82111 (8079)	53587 (5242)	109106 (10560)	158679 (15679)	78153 (7593)	71329 (6974)	105512 (10401)
Multiplicit y	25.3 (23.6)	12.9 (13.2)	6.3 (6.0)	6.3 (6.5)	6.4 (6.0)	6.4 (6.3)	6.4 (6.4)	5.9 (5.3)	6.5 (6.6)
Completen ess (%)	99.99 (99.98)	99.37 (98.65)	99.72 (99.42)	99.88 (99.79)	97.48 (95.47)	99.87 (99.88)	98.71 (97.03)	99.56 (99.03)	99.74 (99.62)
Mean I/sigma(I)	13.31 (1.88)	7.00 (1.19)	8.83 (3.12)	7.72 (3.21)	16.77 (5.46)	9.09 (3.10)	9.68 (3.09)	6.18 (2.56)	5.65 (1.26)
Wilson B- factor	15.81	16.38	12.17	13.44	9.16	12.47	11.55	15.76	12.64
R-merge	0.1342 (2.107)	0.2489 (2.119)	0.1811 (1.138)	0.1531 (0.5265)	0.06539 (0.2976)	0.1111 (0.5593)	0.1155 (0.569)	0.1321 (0.4674)	0.1619 (0.6276)
R-meas	0.137 (2.153)	0.2591 (2.203)	0.1972 (1.242)	0.1669 (0.5728)	0.07122 (0.3259)	0.121 (0.61)	0.126 (0.6197)	0.1448 (0.5188)	0.176 (0.6822)
R-pim	0.02718 (0.4382)	0.07097 (0.5968)	0.07709 (0.4917)	0.06573 (0.2233)	0.02784 (0.1311)	0.04745 (0.2411)	0.04965 (0.2425)	0.05834 (0.2207)	0.06836 (0.2647)
CC1/2	0.999 (0.858)	0.996 (0.502)	0.997 (0.805)	0.994 (0.884)	0.999 (0.943)	0.997 (0.888)	0.993 (0.68)	0.994 (0.845)	0.997 (0.845)
CC*	1 (0.961)	0.999 (0.818)	0.999 (0.944)	0.998 (0.969)	1 (0.985)	0.999 (0.97)	0.998 (0.9)	0.998 (0.957)	0.999 (0.957)
Reflection s used in refinement	83046 (8251)	47968 (4677)	82030 (8059)	53543 (5242)	109065 (10557)	158531 (15678)	78103 (7592)	71295 (6967)	105380 (10401)

Statistics for the highest-resolution shell are shown in parentheses.

bioRioRxpvepreptrindidbit/pst//6lo/ldorg/10/10/11/20/22026.06.63/29/2567/t5jsthienseusionostestednau6e/2022/2012/8e Topporghtrightlbolfdertfrior threspreq/which (whickswast cettidestifled the preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of lender (whickswast cettidestifled the preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of lender (whickswast cettidestifled the preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of lender (whickswast cettidestifled the preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of lender (which are a cettidestifled the preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of lender (which are a cettidestifled to be preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of lender (which are a cettidestifled to be preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of lender (which are a cettidestifled to be preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of the cettidestifled to be preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of the cettidestifled to be preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of the cettidestifled to be preptied in the cettidestifled to be preptied in the cettidestifled to be preptied in the cettidestifled to be preptied to be preptied to be preptied in the cettidestifled to be preptied t

Dataset	Apo at 100 K	Apo at 277 K	Holo with GlcNAc3 at pH 4.74	Holo with GlcNAc2 at pH 4.91	Holo with GlcNAc2 at pH 5.08	Holo with GlcNAc2 at pH 5.25	Holo with GlcNAc2 at pH 5.25	Holo with GlcNAc2 at pH 5.43	Holo with GlcNAc2 at pH 5.60
Reflection s used for R-free	4099 (422)	2328 (234)	4142 (427)	2738 (273)	5449 (559)	7978 (802)	3878 (334)	3561 (348)	5174 (542)
R-work	0.1317 (0.2361)	0.1469 (0.2707)	0.1598 (0.2428)	0.1472 (0.1616)	0.1376 (0.1615)	0.1423 (0.1850)	0.1396 (0.1724)	0.1657 (0.2194)	0.1695 (0.2074)
R-free	0.1519 (0.2613)	0.1717 (0.3244)	0.1978 (0.2952)	0.1898 (0.2065)	0.1644 (0.1932)	0.1778 (0.2315)	0.1689 (0.2113)	0.2083 (0.2737)	0.2056 (0.2463)
CC(work)	0.970 (0.583)	0.978 (0.789)	0.969 (0.819)	0.953 (0.846)	0.971 (0.922)	0.970 (0.878)	0.963 (0.903)	0.959 (0.749)	0.961 (0.869)
CC(free)	0.969 (0.558)	0.975 (0.729)	0.953 (0.775)	0.951 (0.793)	0.966 (0.910)	0.958 (0.791)	0.954 (0.882)	0.951 (0.757)	0.970 (0.846)
Number of non- hydrogen atoms	3583	3427	7330	6953	7507	13986	6951	7343	14428
macromol ecules	3107	3097	6094	6016	6186	11938	6019	6286	11900
ligands	1	1	394	342	516	746	344	401	571
solvent	475	329	1034	763	1057	1666	756	852	2237
Protein residues	376	376	752	738	750	1478	738	738	1478
Nucleic acid bases									
RMS(bon ds)	0.006	0.008	0.008	0.007	0.01	0.006	0.007	0.008	0.003
RMS(angl es)	0.88	0.96	1.05	0.91	1.1	0.92	0.91	1.12	0.66
Ramachan dran favored (%)	98.4	98.66	98.8	98.23	98.26	98.84	98.64	98.35	98.1
Ramachan dran allowed (%)	1.6	1.34	1.2	1.77	1.74	1.16	1.36	1.65	1.9
Ramachan dran outliers (%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rotamer outliers (%)	1.22	0.92	0.62	0.79	0.92	0.87	0.63	0.6	0.88
Clashscore	1.66	0.83	1.25	1.85	1.3	1.31	1.6	1.44	1.66
Average B-factor	21.71	19.1	16.09	14.55	12.73	15.72	14.2	17.9	15.9
macromol ecules	19.83	17.9	13.9	13.24	10.3	13.76	12.5	16.36	13.88
ligands	98.88	46.35	23.57	18.87	15.73	17.53	15.9	23.5	19.18
solvent	33.82	30.3	27.53	23.9	26.25	29.3	27.32	27.98	26.24
Number of TLS groups									

bioRixRxivepretrivatiolbit/bst//slok/dorigo/10/11/20/22028.08.63/29/257/thjsthiereevisiopstesteduke/62/282/20/28e Cbeyorighyrlightloolidenthis threspretrivation (whiveaswast cettorevifed depreteriew)esv) is the transmission of the constraint of the principal straint of t

Table 2 | Occupancy of each ligand subsite and Asp138 in the active conformation (separate file).

bioRixRxivepretrivatiolbit/bst//slok/dorigo/10/11/20/22028.08.63/29/257/thjsthiereevisiopstesteduke/62/282/20/28e Cbeyorighyrlightloolidenthis threspretrivation (whiveaswast cettorevifed depreteriew)esv) is the transmission of the constraint of the principal straint of t

Table 3 | pKa across Asp136, Asp138, Glu140 of mAMCase structures in either Asp138 inactive or Asp138 active conformation (separate file).

bioRioRxpvepreptrindidbit/pst//6lo/ldorg/10/10/11/20/22026.06.63/29/2567/t5jsthienseusionostestednau6e/2022/2012/8e Topporghtrightlbolfdertfrior threspreq/which (whickswast cettidestifled the preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of lender (whickswast cettidestifled the preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of lender (whickswast cettidestifled the preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of lender (whickswast cettidestifled the preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of lender (which are a cettidestifled the preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of lender (which are a cettidestifled to be preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of lender (which are a cettidestifled to be preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of lender (which are a cettidestifled to be preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of the cettidestifled to be preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of the cettidestifled to be preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of the cettidestifled to be preptied in the cettidestifled to be preptied in the cettidestifled to be preptied in the cettidestifled to be preptied to be preptied to be preptied in the cettidestifled to be preptied t

## Figures

bioBioRxpivepreprintriatidolditestofstofactoriget g/.10.011/20/230.28.08.63.239725775 is the receive of the second second



Figure 1 | Kinetic properties of mAMCase catalytic domain at various pH.

bioBlor preprint indidbit pst//stall.doi.org/10/10/11/20/23026.06.64/23426775 jst viers was posted teld here 2022 2012 Be Exposing of the print interprint (which swas pertified the print interprint interprint

A) Chemical depiction of the conserved two-step mechanism where the glycosidic oxygen is protonated by an acidic residue and a nucleophile adds into the anomeric carbon leading to elimination of the hydrolyzed product. **B**) The rate of 4MU-chitobioside catalysis (1/sec) by mAMCase catalytic domain is plotted as a function of 4MU-chitobioside concentration ( $\mu$ M). Each data point represents n = 4 with error bars representing the standard deviation. Michaelis-Menten equation without substrate inhibition was used to estimate the  $k_{cat}$  and  $K_M$  from the initial rate of reaction at various substrate concentrations. **C**) The rate of substrate turnover (1/sec) by mAMCase catalytic domain is plotted as a function of pH. **D**) The Michaelis-Menten constant of mAMCase catalytic domain is plotted as a function of pH. **E**) The catalytic efficiency ( $k_{cat}/K_M$ ) of mAMCase catalytic domain is plotted as a function of pH. **F**) Hypothetical catalytic activity modeled explained by a low pH mechanism (red), and high pH mechanism (blue) and their corresponding total activity (dashed line). bioBioRxprepreprint/idu/dobit/#st//do/dobig/10/011/20/20020.06.63/20126;76;jdtviersevraiposteet/dobuse 2022/2012% Ebeyorighytrlg/bldbol/doet/fais/prepret/intich (whickswast petities/pepeeview)es/)is that apr/file/doet/doet/outline/genore/intic/bioRxi/carticetiserts/edu/doet/file/pepeeview/es/)is that apr/file/doet/



Figure 2 | Schematic representation of sugar-binding subsites in mAMCase.

A) PDB ID: 8GCA, chain A. Stick representation of all GlcNAc<sub>2</sub> sugar-binding events observed in *n* sugar-binding subsites with 2mFo-DFc map shown as a 1.2 Å contour (blue), the subsite nomenclature, and a schematic of alternative conformation ligand modeling. **B**) PDB ID: 8FRA, chain D. Stick representation of all GlcNAc<sub>n</sub> binding events observed in *n*+0.5 sugar-binding subsites with 2mFo-DFc map shown as a 1.2 Å contour (blue), the subsite nomenclature, and a schematic of alternative conformation ligand modeling. **C**) PDB ID: 8FR9, chain B. Stick representation of all GlcNAc<sub>n</sub> binding events observed in *n* and *n*+0.5 sugar-binding subsites with 2mFo-DFc map shown as a 1.2 Å contour (blue), the subsite nomenclature, and a schematic of all GlcNAc<sub>n</sub> binding events observed in *n* and *n*+0.5 sugar-binding subsites with 2mFo-DFc map shown as a 1.2 Å contour (blue), the subsite nomenclature, and a schematic of alternative conformation ligand modeling. bioBioRxiveprepreprintoidottpst//sloi/doigo/10/11/20/22028.08.6329272677bjshierseversiopstesteduku6e2082.20128e Topyoighyrlgohldeolderthis thresprep(which (whickswas cettifestifesd the preeview) esv) is that autificandian denote how has igna great teid River Railice his entered top day the prior imperpretvies ulty's line deade available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.



Figure 3 | Asp138 orientation correlates with ligand subsite occupancy. A) PDB ID: 8FR9, chain B. Schematic of the alternative conformation ligand modeling. B) Linear correlation between sugar-binding subsite occupancy and Asp138 *active* conformation occupancy.



**Figure 4** | **pKa of GH18 chitinases in the D2 inactive and active conformation. A)** PDB ID: 8GCA, chain A. Distribution of pKa across Asp136, Asp138, Glu140 of mAMCase structures in either Asp138 *inactive* or Asp138 *active* conformation. **B)** PDB ID: 3FXY, 3RM4, 3RM8, 3RME (*inactive conformation*); 2YBU, 3FY1 (*active* conformation). Distribution of pKa across Asp136, Asp138, Glu140 of hAMCase structures in either Asp138 *inactive* or Asp138 *active* conformation). Distribution of pKa across Asp136, Asp138, Glu140 of hAMCase structures in either Asp138 *inactive* or Asp138 *active* conformation. **C)** PDB ID: 3ALF, 3AQU, 3FXY, 3RM4, 3RM8, 3RME (*inactive* conformation); 2UY2, 2UY3, 2YBU, 4HME, 4MNJ, 4R5E, 4TXE (*active* conformation). Distribution of pKa across the catalytic triad D<sub>1</sub>xD<sub>2</sub>xE of GH18 chitinases in either D<sub>2</sub> *inactive* or *active* conformation.

bioRixRxivepretrivatiolbit/bst//slok/dorigo/10/11/20/22028.08.63/29/257/thjsthiereevisiopstesteduke/62/282/20/28e Cbeyorighyrlightloolidenthis threspretrivation (whiveaswast cettorevifed depreteriew)esv) is the transmission of the constraint of the principal straint of t



Figure 5 | Distribution of distances observed every 10 ps of each simulation and their respective time courses.

A) Asp138  $\chi_1$  angles over a 10 ns simulation. B) Representative minimum distance snapshots of structure during pH 6.5 *inactive* simulation (left), and pH 2.0 *active* simulation (right). C) Distribution of Asp138  $\chi_1$  angles over a 10 ns simulation.

bioRixRxivepretrivatiolbit/bst//slok/dorigo/10/11/20/22028.08.63/29/257/thjsthiereevisiopstesteduke/62/282/20/28e Cbeyorighyrlightloolidenthis threspretrivation (whiveaswast cettorevifed depreteriew)esv) is the transmission of the constraint of the principal straint of t



## Figure 6 | Proposed model for ligand translocation towards the active site and ligand release post-catalysis.

A) PDB ID: 8GCA, chain A with no ligand (step 1); with GlcNAc<sub>4</sub> generated by *phenix.elbow* using PubChem ID: 10985690 (step 2); with GlcNAc<sub>6</sub> generated by *phenix.elbow* using PubChem ID: 6918014 (step 3-4, 8); with oxazolinium intermediate generated by *phenix.elbow* using PubChem ID: 25260046 (steps 5.1-5.2); with GlcNAc<sub>2</sub> and GlcNAc<sub>4</sub> generated by *phenix.elbow* using PubChem ID: 439544 and 10985690, respectively (steps 6-7). Chemical representation of GH18 catalytic cycle with corresponding molecular models of each step. Catalytic residues Asp136, Asp138, Glu140, and ligands are shown as sticks. Protons are shown as gray spheres.
B) PDB ID: 8GCA, chain A. Animated movie of the mAMcase catalytic cycle at pH 2.0 (separate file) and C) at pH 6.5 (separate file). Catalytic residues Asp136, Asp138, Glu140, and ligands are shown as gray spheres.

bioRix preprint indidbitest/stall doi:010/10/01/20/2008.06.63.23425775 is hier size in or steated new of exercise of the proof of the this print (which swast control with a data the the print of the the print of t

## Data Availability

Raw experimental data, processing files, log files, GraphPad PRISM files, PyMOL scripts, and

PyMOL sessions can be found on Zenodo or Protein Diffraction.

- Figure 1 | Kinetic properties of mAMCase catalytic domain at various pH.
  - o doi: 10.5281/zenodo.7968293
- Figure 2 | Schematic representation of sugar-binding subsites in mAMCase.
  - o doi: 10.5281/zenodo.7967930
- Figure 3 | Asp138 orientation correlates with ligand subsite occupancy
  - o doi: 10.5281/zenodo.7905828
- Figure 4 | pKa of GH18 chitinases in the D2 inactive and active conformation.
  - o doi: 10.5281/zenodo.7905863
- Figure 5 | Distribution of distances observed every 10 ps of each simulation and their

respective time courses.

- o doi: 10.5281/zenodo.7758821
- Figure 6 | Proposed model for ligand translocation towards the active site and ligand release post-catalysis.
  - o doi: 10.5281/zenodo.7967958
- Supplemental Figure 1 | pH of reaction solution before and after quenching with 0.1 M Gly-NaOH pH 10.7
  - o doi: 10.5281/zenodo.7968293
- Supplemental Figure 2 | Kinetics of 4MU-chitobioside catalysis by mAMCase catalytic domain at various pH.
  - o doi: 10.5281/zenodo.7968293

bioRix prepreprintoidobt part/dd/dorgo/10/10/11/20/23/28.08.63/23/25;75 jst vier series joost edd have a 28/20/28 = Topyroghyring to be provided in the print (which swast certified flag dag peeview) esv) is that hauthion denote how has been available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

- Supplemental Figure 3 | 96-well plate layout of crystallization conditions.
  - o doi: 10.5281/zenodo.7905944
  - o doi: 10.18430/M38FG5
  - o doi: 10.18430/M38FG7
  - o doi: 10.18430/M38GCA
  - o doi: 10.18430/M38FRC
  - o doi: 10.18430/M38FR9
  - o doi: 10.18430/M38FRB
  - o doi: 10.18430/M38FRD
  - o doi: 10.18430/M38FRG
  - o doi: 10.18430/M38FRA
- Supplemental Figure 4 | pKa of apo and holo mAMCase in the D2 *inactive* and *active* conformation.
  - o doi: 10.5281/zenodo.7905863
- Supplemental Figure 5 | Overview of key residues for mAMCase activity.
  - o doi: 10.5281/zenodo.7967978
- Supplemental Figure 6 | Protein-ligand interactions between mAMCase and chitin.
  - o doi: 10.5281/zenodo.7967954
- Supplemental Figure 7 | Ringer analysis of catalytic triad confirms alternative Asp138 conformations.
  - o doi: 10.5281/zenodo.7758815

## Methods

## Protein expression and purification

Protein expression and purification mAMCase catalytic domain (UniProt: Q91XA9; residues 22 to 391) was cloned into a pTwist CMV [pmRED006; Twist Biosciences; Addgene ID: 200228] or pcDNA3.1(+) [pmRED013; Genscript; Addgene ID: 200229] expression vector with a C-terminal 6xHis tag. To express mAMCase catalytic domain, 0.8-1 µg/mL plasmid DNA was transfected into ExpiCHO-S cells (ThermoFisher Scientific #A29127) using the Max Titer protocol (ThermoFisher Scientific MAN0014337). After cells were grown shaking at 37°C with 8% CO2 for 18-22 hours, ExpiFectamine CHO Enhancer (ThermoFisher Scientific #A29129) and ExpiCHO feed (ThermoFisher Scientific #A29129) was added to the flask. Cells were then transferred to 32 °C with 5% CO2 for an additional 9-13 days of growth, with a second volume of ExpiCHO feed added to the flask on day 5 post-transfection. Cells were removed by centrifugation at 4,000 RCF for 15 minutes at 4 °C, and the remaining supernatant was filtered using a 0.22 µm filter at 4 °C. Filtered supernatant was either dialyzed into Ni-nitrilotriacetic acid (NTA) loading buffer [100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl] at 4 °C in a 10-kDa molecular weight cutoff (MWCO) Slide-A-Lyzer Dialysis Cassette, (ThermoFisher Scientific #66810) for 18-24 hours or concentrated in a 10-kDa MWCO centrifugal concentrator (Amicon #UFC901008) at 4,000 RCF in 5 min intervals until the final volume was equal to 10 mL, which was then diluted 1:10 with loading buffer for a total volume of 100 mL. The dialyzed supernatant volume was filtered using a 0.22 µm filter at 4 °C. All purification steps were performed at 4°C using an ÄKTA fast protein liquid chromatography system (Cytiva). The dialyzed supernatant was applied to a 5-ml HisTrap FF column (Cytiva, 17525501). The column was washed with 40 mL of loading buffer followed by 25 mL of 10% Ni-NTA elution buffer [100 mM Tris-HCl (pH

8.0), 150 mM NaCl, 500 mM imidazole] and then eluted over a 50 mL gradient from 10% to 100% elution buffer. Eluted protein was concentrated to 2.5 mL using a 10-kDa MWCO centrifugal concentrator (Amicon, UFC901024). The sample was further purified by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) using a HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 75 pg column (Cytiva, 28989333) equilibrated with SEC buffer [25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 50 mM NaCl]. Eluted fractions were collected and stored at 4 °C for further use.

#### 4MU-chitobioside Endpoint Assay

Chitinase catalytic activity has previously been assayed using 4-methylumbelliferyl chitobioside (4MU-CB; Sigma-Aldrich M9763)<sup>43,44</sup>. 100 nM chitinase enzyme was incubated with varying concentrations of 4MU-chitobioside up to 117 μM in McIlvaine Buffer at 37 °C <sup>21</sup>. The 4-methylumbelliferone (4MU) fluorophore is quenched by a β-glycosidic linkage to a short chitin oligomer, which is cleaved by a chitinase enzyme, which generates fluorescence with peak excitation at 360 nm and emission at 450 nm. 4MU fluorescence is pH-dependent with peak excitation at 360 nm and emission at 450 nm at pH 7.0. It has been previously reported that 4MU peak excitation/emission increases and fluorescence intensity decreases as pH becomes more acidic <sup>45</sup>. Given the pH-dependent fluorescence properties of the 4MU fluorophore, we incubate the reaction at different pH, then quench with 0.1 M Gly-NaOH pH 10.7. Quenching the reaction with 0.1 M Gly-NaOH pH 10.7 stops the enzyme reaction and shifts the pH to maximize the quantum yield of the 4MU substrate.

A Tecan Spark multimode microplate reader is pre-heated to 37 °C. 4MU-chitobioside (Sigma-Aldrich M9763) and AMCase are separately pre-incubated at 37 °C for 15 minutes. 25  $\mu$ L of 4MU-chitobioside or McIlvaine Buffer (Boston Bioproducts) is transferred into each well

in a Multiplate 96-Well PCR Plate, high profile, unskirted, clear (Bio-Rad MLP9601). Using a Multidrop Combi Reagent Dispenser (Thermo Scientific #5840300), 25 μL of either 100 nM AMCase or McIlvaine Buffer (Boston Bioproducts) is dispensed into each well in the Multiplate 96-Well PCR Plate (Corning #3993). The Multiplate 96-Well PCR Plate is then incubated at 37 °C in a 96-well Non-Skirted PCR Plate Block (Thermo Scientific #88870120) in a digital dry bath (Thermo Scientific #88870006).

The reaction is quenched with 50  $\mu$ L 0.1 M Gly-NaOH pH 10.7 at timepoints 0", 15", 30", 45", 60", 90". 40  $\mu$ L of the quenched reaction is transferred to a 384-well Low Volume Black Flat Bottom Polystyrene NBS Microplate (Corning #3820), then immediately read using the following parameters:

- Excitation 360 nm, 20 nm bandwidth
- Emission 450 nm, 20 nm bandwidth
- Gain 50
- Flashes 20

This assay was performed in quadruplicate for each pH value reported. This allowed us to reliably measure initial rates of catalysis across a large range of pH conditions. The workflow for this assay is illustrated in **Figure 1**. A detailed protocol for this assay can be found on <u>protocols.io</u>.

## Analysis of kinetic data

 $25 \ \mu L \text{ of } 200 \ \mu M 4MU \text{ fluorophore (Sigma-Aldrich M1381) was serially diluted into 25} \ \mu L McIlvaine Buffer (Boston Bioproducts) across the range of pHs to obtain 5 diluted ligand concentrations ranging from 100 \ \mu M to 6.25 \ \mu M as well as ligand free. This dilution series was$ 

performed in duplicate per 96-Well PCR plate for a total of 8 replicates per ligand concentration at each given pH value. At the end of the experiment, the 4MU dilution series is quenched with  $50 \ \mu\text{L} \ 0.1 \ \text{M} \ \text{Gly-NaOH} \ \text{pH} \ 10.7$  for a final dilution series ranging from 50  $\ \mu\text{M}$  to  $3.125 \ \mu\text{M}$ .

Relative fluorescence (RFU) was plotted against 4MU concentration, then a simple linear regression with the constraint Y = 0 when X = 0 was performed to obtain a standard curve. We then used the equation Y = mX + b, where m is the slope from the standard curve and Y is the RFU from a given experimental data point, to determine the concentration of 4MU [µM] generated by AMCase at a given time point.

Average 4MU concentration  $[\mu M]$  (n = 4) was plotted as a function of time with error bars representing the standard deviation. We then fit a simple linear regression with the constraint Y = 0 when X = 0 to obtain the initial rate of enzyme activity (4MU  $[\mu M]$ /sec) at each concentration of 4MU-chitobioside  $[\mu M]$ . Average initial rate (n = 4) was plotted as a function of 4MU-chitobioside concentration  $[\mu M]$  with error bars representing the standard deviation. We fit our data to a Michaelis-Menten function without substrate inhibition to obtain V<sub>max</sub> and K<sub>M</sub> parameters. We used the equation  $k_{cat} = V_{max}/[Enzyme]$  where  $[Enzyme] = 0.1 \ \mu M$  to calculate  $k_{cat}$ . We calculated catalytic efficiency (CE) using the equation CE =  $K_M/k_{cat}$ . Kinetic parameters Vmax,  $K_M$ ,  $k_{cat}$ , and catalytic efficiency were plotted as a function of pH.

## Apo crystallization

Using hanging-drop vapor diffusion, crystallization screens were performed using a 96well Clear Flat Bottom Polystyrene High Binding microplate (Corning CLS9018BC) with 0.5 mL of reservoir solution in each well. Crystallization drops were set up on 96-well plate seals (SPT Labtech 4150-05100) with 0.2 µl of AMCase at 11 mg/ml and 0.2 µl of reservoir using an SPT Labtech mosquito crystal. After 21 days at 20 °C, we observed crystals in a reservoir solution containing 20% PEG-6000, 0.1 M Sodium Acetate pH 5.0, and 0.2 M Magnesium Chloride (II) (MgCl2) (NeXtal PACT Suite Well A10; #130718).

#### Apo data collection, processing, and refinement at cryogenic temperature

Diffraction data were collected at the beamline ALS 8.3.1 at 100 K. Diffraction data from multiple crystals were merged using xia2<sup>46</sup>, implementing DIALS<sup>47</sup> for indexing and integration, and Aimless<sup>48</sup> for scaling and merging. We confirmed the space group assignment using DIMPLE <sup>49</sup>. We calculated phases by the method of molecular replacement, using the program Phaser<sup>50</sup> and a previous structure of hAMCase (PDB: 3FXY) as the search model. The model was manually adjusted in Coot to fit the electron density map calculated from molecular replacement, followed by automated refinement of coordinates, atomic displacement parameters, and occupancies using phenix.refine<sup>51</sup> with optimization of restraint weights. Default refinement parameters were used, except the fact that five refinement macrocycles were carried out per iteration and water molecules were automatically added to peaks in the 2mFo-DFc electron density map higher than 3.5 Å. The minimum model-water distance was set to 1.8 Å, and a maximum model-water distance was set to 6 Å. For later rounds of refinement, hydrogens were added to riding positions using *phenix.ready set*, and B-factors were refined anisotropically for non-hydrogen and non-water atoms. Following two initial rounds of iterative model building and refinement using the aforementioned strategy, we began introducing additional parameters into the model, enabled by the extraordinarily high resolution of our diffraction data. First, we implemented anisotropic atomic displacement parameters for heavy atoms (C, N, O, and S),

followed by refinement of explicit hydrogen atom positions. A final round of refinement was performed without updating water molecules.

## Apo data collection, processing, and refinement at room temperature

Diffraction data were collected at the beamline ALS 8.3.1 at 277 K. Data collection, processing, refinement, and model building were performed as described previously for the apo crystals at cryogenic temperature.

## Holo crystallization

Initially, crystals were grown by hanging-drop vapor diffusion with a reservoir solution containing 20% PEG-6000 (Hampton Research HR2533), 0.1 M Sodium Acetate (pH 3.6, Hampton Research HR293301; pH 4.1, Hampton Research HR293306; pH 5.0, Hampton Research HR293315; pH 5.6, Hampton Research HR293321), and 0.2 M Magnesium Chloride (II) (MgCl2) (Hampton Research HR2559). Screens were performed using a 96-well Clear Flat Bottom Polystyrene High Binding microplate (Corning CLS9018BC) with 0.5 mL of reservoir solution in each well. Crystallization drops were set up on 96-well plate seals (SPT Labtech 4150-05100) with 0.2  $\mu$ l of AMCase at 11 mg/ml and 0.2  $\mu$ l of reservoir using an SPT Labtech mosquito crystal. Crystals grew after 1-2 days at 20 °C.

Using hanging drop diffusion vapor, holo crystals grew after 12 hours at 20 °C. For the holo form with GlcNAc<sub>2</sub> (Megazyme O-CHI2), this construct crystallized in either P2<sub>1</sub>2<sub>1</sub>2 or P2<sub>1</sub>2<sub>1</sub>2<sub>1</sub> with either 2 or 4 molecules in the ASU and diffracted to a maximum resolution between 1.50 to 1.95 Å. For the holo form with GlcNAc<sub>3</sub> (Megazyme O-CHI3), this construct crystallized in P2<sub>1</sub>2<sub>1</sub>2 with 2 molecules in the ASU and diffracted to a maximum resolution of 1.70 Å.

#### Holo data collection, processing, and refinement at cryogenic temperature

Diffraction data were collected at the beamline ALS 8.3.1 and SSRL beamline 12-1 at 100 K. Data collection, processing, refinement, and model building were performed as described previously for the apo crystals.

Ligands were modeled into 2mFo-DFc maps with Coot, using restraints generated by *phenix.elbow* from an isomeric SMILES (simplified molecular input line-entry system) string <sup>52</sup> using AM1 geometry optimization. Default refinement parameters were used, except the fact that five refinement macrocycles were carried out per iteration and water molecules were automatically added to peaks in the 2mFo-DFc electron density map higher than 3.5 Å. The minimum model-water distance was set to 1.8 Å, and a maximum model-water distance was set to 6 Å. Changes in protein conformation and solvation were also modeled. Hydrogens were added with *phenix.ready\_set*, and waters were updated automatically. A final round of refinement was performed without updating water molecules<sup>53</sup>.

## Ligand modeling

For consistency, ligands were assigned an alternative conformation ID based on the sugarbinding subsites it occupied:

GlcNAc<sub>2</sub> ResID 401 Conf. A, -3 to -2 GlcNAc<sub>2</sub> ResID 401 Conf. B, -2.5 to -1.5 GlcNAc<sub>2</sub> ResID 401 Conf. C, -2 to -1 GlcNAc<sub>2</sub> ResID 402 Conf. D, -1 to +1 GlcNAc<sub>2</sub> ResID 402 Conf. B, +1 to +2 bioRix prepreprintoidobt part/dd/dorgo/10/10/11/20/23/28.08.63/23/25;75 jst vier series joost edd have a 28/20/28 = Topyroghyring to be provided in the print (which swast certified flag dag peeview) esv) is that hauthion denote how has been available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

GlcNAc<sub>2</sub> ResID 402 Conf. A, +1' to +2

GlcNAc<sub>3</sub> ResID 401 Conf. A, -4 to -2 GlcNAc<sub>3</sub> ResID 401 Conf. B, -3 to -1 GlcNAc<sub>3</sub> ResID 401 Conf. C, -2 to +1 GlcNAc<sub>3</sub> ResID 402 Conf. B, -1 to +2

Ligand occupancies and B-factors using *phenix.refine*. Ligands with occupancies <= 0.10 were removed from the model.

## **Ringer** analysis

Individual residues in each of the mAMCase structures were run through Ringer using mmtbx.ringer. Outputs from the csv file were then plotted using Matplotlib.

## pKa Analysis

We used the APBS-PDB2PQR software suite

(https://server.poissonboltzmann.org/pdb2pqr)<sup>54</sup>. Each PDB model was separated into two separate models containing a single Asp138 conformation in either the *inactive* (down towards Asp136) or *active conformation* (up towards Glu140). Solvent and ligand molecules were not modified. The pH of the crystallization condition was provided for PROPKA to assign protonation states. The default forcefield PARSE was used. The following additional options were selected: Ensure that new atoms are not rebuilt too close to existing atoms; Optimize the hydrogen bonding network.

## Molecular Dynamics

Simulations were performed using hexaacetyl-chitohexaose (PubChem Compound ID: 6918014) modeled into 8GCA with Asp138 in either the *inactive* (down towards Asp136) or *active conformation* (up towards Glu140). The model PDB file was opened in MOE and solvated in a sphere of water 10 Å away from the protein. This system then underwent structural preparation for simulations using the standard parameters with the AMBER14 forcefield. The system then was protonated to set pH {2.0, 6.5} based on sidechain pKa predictions using the 3DProtonate menu followed by confirmation of appropriate protonation by PROPKA calculations. Protonated models underwent energy minimization by steepest descent before simulations were set up. Equilibration was performed for 10 ps followed by 100 ps of thermal gradient equilibration from 0K to 300K. A thermal bath equilibration was run for 100 ps before the production runs were started. Productions were run for 10 ns with a time step of 0.5 fs to not overshoot bond vibrations. The simulation was sampled every 10 ps for subsequent data analysis which was performed using the MOE database viewer and replotted using GraphPad Prism.

## References

- Cabib, E. & Bowers, B. Timing and function of chitin synthesis in yeast. J. Bacteriol. 124, 1586–1593 (1975).
- 2. Zhu, K. Y., Merzendorfer, H., Zhang, W., Zhang, J. & Muthukrishnan, S. Biosynthesis, turnover, and functions of chitin in insects. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* **61**, 177–196 (2016).
- Tang, W. J., Fernandez, J., Sohn, J. J. & Amemiya, C. T. Chitin is endogenously produced in vertebrates. *Curr. Biol.* 25, 897–900 (2015).
- Elieh Ali Komi, D., Sharma, L. & Dela Cruz, C. S. Chitin and Its Effects on Inflammatory and Immune Responses. *Clin. Rev. Allergy Immunol.* 54, 213–223 (2018).
- Van Dyken, S. J. *et al.* Spontaneous Chitin Accumulation in Airways and Age-Related Fibrotic Lung Disease. *Cell* 169, 497-509.e13 (2017).
- Van Dyken, S. J. & Locksley, R. M. Chitins and chitinase activity in airway diseases. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 142, 364–369 (2018).
- Bussink, A. P., Speijer, D., Aerts, J. M. F. G. & Boot, R. G. Evolution of mammalian chitinase(-like) members of family 18 glycosyl hydrolases. *Genetics* 177, 959–970 (2007).
- 8. Zhu, Z. *et al.* Acidic mammalian chitinase in asthmatic Th2 inflammation and IL-13 pathway activation. *Science* **304**, 1678–1682 (2004).
- 9. Reese, T. A. *et al.* Chitin induces accumulation in tissue of innate immune cells associated with allergy. *Nature* **447**, 92–96 (2007).
- Davies, G. & Henrissat, B. Structures and mechanisms of glycosyl hydrolases. *Structure* 3, 853–859 (1995).

- Papanikolau, Y., Tavlas, G., Vorgias, C. E. & Petratos, K. De novo purification scheme and crystallization conditions yield high-resolution structures of chitinase A and its complex with the inhibitor allosamidin. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 59, 400–403 (2003).
- Cole, D. C. *et al.* Identification and characterization of acidic mammalian chitinase inhibitors. *J. Med. Chem.* 53, 6122–6128 (2010).
- Olland, A. M. *et al.* Triad of polar residues implicated in pH specificity of acidic mammalian chitinase. *Protein Sci.* 18, 569–578 (2009).
- Boot, R. G. *et al.* Identification of a novel acidic mammalian chitinase distinct from chitotriosidase. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276, 6770–6778 (2001).
- Yoong, P., Schuch, R., Nelson, D. & Fischetti, V. A. PlyPH, a bacteriolytic enzyme with a broad pH range of activity and lytic action against Bacillus anthracis. *J. Bacteriol.* 188, 2711–2714 (2006).
- Sajedi, R. H. *et al.* A Ca-independent α-amylase that is active and stable at low pH from the Bacillus sp. KR-8104. *Enzyme Microb. Technol.* 36, 666–671 (2005).
- Bhunia, B., Dutta, D. & Chaudhuri, S. Extracellular alkaline protease from Bacillus licheniformis NCIM-2042: Improving enzyme activity assay and characterization. *Eng. Life Sci.* 11, 207–215 (2011).
- Seibold, M. A. *et al.* Differential enzymatic activity of common haplotypic versions of the human acidic Mammalian chitinase protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* 284, 19650–19658 (2009).
- Chou, Y.-T. *et al.* Kinetic characterization of recombinant human acidic mammalian chitinase. *Biochemistry* 45, 4444–4454 (2006).
- Kashimura, A. *et al.* Functional properties of the catalytic domain of mouse acidic mammalian chitinase expressed in Escherichia coli. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 16, 4028–4042 (2015).

- 21. Barad, B. A. *et al.* Differences in the chitinolytic activity of mammalian chitinases on soluble and insoluble substrates. *Protein Sci.* **29**, 966–977 (2020).
- 22. Aguilera, B. *et al.* Transglycosidase activity of chitotriosidase: improved enzymatic assay for the human macrophage chitinase. *J. Biol. Chem.* **278**, 40911–40916 (2003).
- Wakita, S. *et al.* Mouse acidic mammalian chitinase exhibits transglycosylation activity at somatic tissue pH. *FEBS Lett.* **591**, 3310–3318 (2017).
- Davies, G. J., Wilson, K. S. & Henrissat, B. Nomenclature for sugar-binding subsites in glycosyl hydrolases. *Biochem. J* 321 (Pt 2), 557–559 (1997).
- Watanabe, T. *et al.* Aromatic residues within the substrate-binding cleft of Bacillus circulans chitinase A1 are essential for hydrolysis of crystalline chitin. *Biochem. J* 376, 237–244 (2003).
- Horn, S. J. *et al.* Costs and benefits of processivity in enzymatic degradation of recalcitrant polysaccharides. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 103, 18089–18094 (2006).
- Zakariassen, H. *et al.* Aromatic residues in the catalytic center of chitinase A from Serratia marcescens affect processivity, enzyme activity, and biomass converting efficiency. *J. Biol. Chem.* 284, 10610–10617 (2009).
- Jiménez-Ortega, E., Kidibule, P. E., Fernández-Lobato, M. & Sanz-Aparicio, J. Structural inspection and protein motions modelling of a fungal glycoside hydrolase family 18 chitinase by crystallography depicts a dynamic enzymatic mechanism. *Comput. Struct. Biotechnol. J.* 19, 5466–5478 (2021).
- Nakamura, A., Okazaki, K.-I., Furuta, T., Sakurai, M. & Iino, R. Processive chitinase is Brownian monorail operated by fast catalysis after peeling rail from crystalline chitin. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 1–12 (2018).

- van Aalten, D. M. *et al.* Structural insights into the catalytic mechanism of a family 18 exochitinase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 98, 8979–8984 (2001).
- 31. Lang, P. T. *et al.* Automated electron-density sampling reveals widespread conformational polymorphism in proteins. *Protein Sci.* **19**, 1420–1431 (2010).
- Fusetti, F. *et al.* Structure of human chitotriosidase. Implications for specific inhibitor design and function of mammalian chitinase-like lectins. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277, 25537–25544 (2002).
- Songsiriritthigul, C., Pantoom, S., Aguda, A. H., Robinson, R. C. & Suginta, W. Crystal structures of Vibrio harveyi chitinase A complexed with chitooligosaccharides: implications for the catalytic mechanism. *J. Struct. Biol.* 162, 491–499 (2008).
- 34. Synstad, B. *et al.* Mutational and computational analysis of the role of conserved residues in the active site of a family 18 chitinase. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **271**, 253–262 (2004).
- Bussink, A. P., Vreede, J., Aerts, J. M. F. G. & Boot, R. G. A single histidine residue modulates enzymatic activity in acidic mammalian chitinase. *FEBS Lett.* 582, 931–935 (2008).
- 36. Mishra, P., Patni, D. & Jha, S. K. A pH-dependent protein stability switch coupled to the perturbed pKa of a single ionizable residue. *Biophys. Chem.* **274**, 106591 (2021).
- Labute, P. Protonate3D: assignment of ionization states and hydrogen coordinates to macromolecular structures. *Proteins* 75, 187–205 (2009).
- Olsson, M. H. M., Søndergaard, C. R., Rostkowski, M. & Jensen, J. H. PROPKA3: Consistent Treatment of Internal and Surface Residues in Empirical pKa Predictions. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 7, 525–537 (2011).

- Meekrathok, P., Kukic, P., Nielsen, J. E. & Suginta, W. Investigation of Ionization Pattern of the Adjacent Acidic Residues in the DXDXE Motif of GH-18 Chitinases Using Theoretical pKa Calculations. J. Chem. Inf. Model. 57, 572–583 (2017).
- Iino, T., Sakurai, M. & Furuta, T. A novel ring-shaped reaction pathway with interconvertible intermediates in chitinase A as revealed by QM/MM simulation combined with a one-dimensional projection technique. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 21, 24956–24966 (2019).
- Kashimura, A. *et al.* Protein A-mouse acidic mammalian chitinase-V5-His expressed in periplasmic space of Escherichia coli possesses chitinase functions comparable to CHOexpressed protein. *PLoS One* 8, e78669 (2013).
- Tabata, E. *et al.* Noninsect-Based Diet Leads to Structural and Functional Changes of Acidic Chitinase in Carnivora. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **39**, (2022).
- O'Brien, M. & Colwell, R. R. A rapid test for chitinase activity that uses 4methylumbelliferyl-N-acetyl-beta-D-glucosaminide. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 53, 1718– 1720 (1987).
- 44. Renkema, Boot & Muijsers. Purification and Characterization of Human Chitotriosidase, a Novel Member of the Chitinase Family of Proteins (\*). *Boll. Soc. Ital. Biol. Sper.*
- 45. Zhi, H., Wang, J., Wang, S. & Wei, Y. Fluorescent Properties of Hymecromone and Fluorimetric Analysis of Hymecromone in Compound Dantong Capsule. *Journal of Spectroscopy* 2013, (2013).
- Winter, G. xia2: an expert system for macromolecular crystallography data reduction. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 43, 186–190 (2009).

- 47. Winter, G. *et al.* DIALS: implementation and evaluation of a new integration package. *Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol* **74**, 85–97 (2018).
- Winn, M. D. *et al.* Overview of the CCP4 suite and current developments. *Acta Crystallogr.* D Biol. Crystallogr. 67, 235–242 (2011).
- Wojdyr, M., Keegan, R., Winter, G. & Ashton, A. DIMPLE-a pipeline for the rapid generation of difference maps from protein crystals with putatively bound ligands. in *ACTA CRYSTALLOGRAPHICA A-FOUNDATION AND ADVANCES* vol. 69 S299–S299 (INT UNION CRYSTALLOGRAPHY 2 ABBEY SQ, CHESTER, CH1 2HU, ENGLAND, 2013).
- McCoy, A. J. *et al.* Phaser crystallographic software. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 40, 658–674 (2007).
- 51. Afonine, P. V. *et al.* Towards automated crystallographic structure refinement with phenix.refine. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **68**, 352–367 (2012).
- Emsley, P. & Cowtan, K. Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 60, 2126–2132 (2004).
- Wojdyr, M., Keegan, R., Winter, G. & Ashton, A. DIMPLE a pipeline for the rapid generation of difference maps from protein crystals with putatively bound ligands. *Acta Crystallogr. A* 69, 299–299 (2013).
- Jurrus, E. *et al.* Improvements to the APBS biomolecular solvation software suite. *Protein Sci.* 27, 112–128 (2018).
- 55. Morin, A. *et al.* Cutting edge: Collaboration gets the most out of software. *Elife* 2, e01456 (2013).

bioBioRxiveprepreprintoidottpst//sloi/doigo/10/11/20/22028.08.6329272677bjshierseversiopstesteduku6e2082.20128e Topyoighyrlgohldeolderthis thresprep(which (whickswas cettifestifesd the preeview) esv) is that autificandian denote how has igna great teid River Railice his entered top day the prior imperpretvies ulty's line deade available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

## **Supplemental Figures**



Supplemental Figure 1 | pH of reaction solution before and after quenching with 0.1 M Gly-NaOH pH 10.7

**A)** Schematic of modified endpoint 4MU-chitobioside assay. **B)** Reaction pH before and after quenching with 0.1 M Gly-NaOH pH 10.7, and **C)** a pH strip reference sheet.

bioRixRxivepretrivatiolbit/bst//slok/dorigo/10/11/20/22028.08.63/29/257/thjsthiereevisiopstesteduke/62/282/20/28e Cbeyorighyrlightloolidenthis threspretrivation (whiveaswast cettorevifed depreteriew)esv) is the transmission of the constraint of the principal straint of t









Supplemental Figure 2 | Kinetics of 4MU-chitobioside catalysis by mAMCase catalytic domain at various pH.

A) A linear fit forced through Y = 0 is used to generate the standard curve for converting RFU to 4MU [µM]. Each data point represents n = 8 with error bars representing the standard deviation. B) 4MU fluorescence (RFU) is plotted as a function of time (sec). Each data point represents n = 4 with error bars representing the standard deviation. A linear fit is applied to each concentration of 4MU-chitobioside to calculate an initial rate. RFU is converted to µM using a 4MU standard curve. C) The rate of 4MU-chitobioside catalysis (1/sec) by mAMCase catalytic domain is plotted as a function of 4MU-chitobioside concentration (µM). Each data point represents n = 4 with error bars representing the standard deviation. Michaelis-Menten equation without substrate inhibition was used to estimate the  $k_{cat}$  and  $K_M$  from the initial rate of reaction at various substrate concentrations. bioBloRx prepreprint iduidbit patt/stall doigo 10/.10.011/20/23028.06.63/23925; 7bj st hier series i postested neu 6e 2382.3028 Ebey right holder this prime (which swass certified the preprint in perpendiculties the prime in perpendiculties the decade available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.



## Supplemental Figure 3 | 96-well plate layout of crystallization conditions.

A) Brightfield view of crystals used to determine the structures reported in this paper. B) Hanging drop crystallization trays were set up as a 2-condition gradient to identify optimal crystallization conditions for AMCase + GlcNAc<sub>n</sub>. pH increased along the X-axis from pH 3.70 to 5.60. Ligand concentration increased along the Y-axis from 0 mM to 29 mM [GlcNAc<sub>2</sub>], 19 mM [GlcNAc<sub>3</sub>], 10 mM [GlcNAc<sub>4</sub>], or 8 mM [GlcNAc<sub>5</sub>]. Black boxes indicate conditions where crystals grew. Lilac boxes indicate conditions for structures reported in this paper. bioBioRxiveprepreprintoidottpst//sloi/doigo/10/11/20/22028.08.6329272677bjshierseversiopstesteduku6e2082.20128e Topyoighyrlgohldeolderthis thresprep(which (whickswas cettifestifesd the preeview) esv) is that autificandian denote how has igna great teid River Railice his entered top day the prior imperpretvies ulty's line deade available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.



Supplemental Figure 4 | pKa of apo and holo mAMCase in the D2 *inactive* and *acti* conformation.

PDB ID: 8FG5, 8FG7 (*apo*); 8GCA, 8FRC, 8FR9, 8FRB, 8FRD, 8FRG, 8FRA (*holo*). Distribution of pKa across Asp136, Asp138, Glu140 between **A**) apo and **B**) holo mAMCase structures in the *inactive* or *active* conformation.

bioRioRxpvepreptrindidbit/pst//6lo/ldorg/10/10/11/20/22026.06.63/29/2567/t5jsthienseusionostestednau6e/2022/2012/8e Topporghtrightlbolfdertfrior threspreq/which (whickswast cettidestifled the preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of lender (whickswast cettidestifled the preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of lender (whickswast cettidestifled the preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of lender (whickswast cettidestifled the preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of lender (which are a cettidestifled the preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of lender (which are a cettidestifled to be preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of lender (which are a cettidestifled to be preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of lender (which are a cettidestifled to be preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of the cettidestifled to be preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of the cettidestifled to be preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of the cettidestifled to be preptied in the cettidestifled to be preptied in the cettidestifled to be preptied in the cettidestifled to be preptied to be preptied to be preptied in the cettidestifled to be preptied t

PDB ID	Chain	Aromatic	Polar	Catalytic	Ligand
8FG5	A	A CAR	Stor 1		
8FG7	A	ALL ALL	Stor 1		
8GCA	A		2 Alt		
8GCA	В		S OF		
8FRC	A	A CARACTER	ANT N		
8FRC	В		Serie a		Att
8FR9	A	A CARLER OF	S The		
8FR9	В				

bioRioRxpvepreptrindidbit/pst//6lo/ldorg/10/10/11/20/22026.06.63/29/2567/t5jsthienseusionostestednau6e/2022/2012/8e Topporghtrightlbolfdertfrior threspreq/which (whickswast cettidestifled the preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of lender (whickswast cettidestifled the preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of lender (whickswast cettidestifled the preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of lender (whickswast cettidestifled the preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of lender (which are a cettidestifled the preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of lender (which are a cettidestifled to be preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of lender (which are a cettidestifled to be preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of lender (which are a cettidestifled to be preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of the cettidestifled to be preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of the cettidestifled to be preptied in perpetuie) is the transmittion of the cettidestifled to be preptied in the cettidestifled to be preptied in the cettidestifled to be preptied in the cettidestifled to be preptied to be preptied to be preptied in the cettidestifled to be preptied t

PDB ID	Chain	Aromatic	Polar	Catalytic	Ligand
8FRB	A		A CHAN		
8FRB	В		2		2 Martin
8FRB	С	A CARACTER STATE	Sector 5		
8FRB	D	All and a second	Part 1	and the second s	2 Hours
8FRD	A		Parts 1	July Contraction	
8FRD	В			in the	A HAN
8FRG	A		3		
8FRG	В				A.

bioBlor preprint indidbit pst//stall.doi.org/10/10/11/20/23026.06.64/23426775 jst viers was posted teld here 2022 2012 Be Exposing of the print interprint (which swas pertified the print interprint interprint



## Supplemental Figure 5 | Overview of key residues for mAMCase activity.

A) Stick representation of ligand and aromatic residues Trp31, Tyr34, Trp99, and Trp218 in the active site with 2mFo-DFc map shown as a 1.2 Å contour (blue). B) Stick representation of ligand and polar residues Arg145, His208, Asp213, and His269 in the active site with 2mFo-DFc map shown as a 1.2 Å contour (blue). C, D) Stick representation of ligand and catalytic residues Asp136, Asp138, and Glu140 in the active site with 2mFo-DFc map shown as a 1.2 Å contour (blue).

bioBioRxiveprepreprintoidottpst//sloi/doigo/10/11/20/22028.08.6329272677bjshierseversiopstesteduku6e2082.20128e Topyoighyrlgohldeolderthis thresprep(which (whickswas cettifestifesd the preeview) esv) is that autificandian denote how has igna great teid River Railice his entered top day the prior imperpretvies ulty's line deade available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.



Supplemental Figure 6 | Protein-ligand interactions between mAMCase and chitin. A) PDB ID: 8GCA, chain A with GlcNAc<sub>6</sub> modeled for viewing simplicity. Stick representation highlighting the stabilizing H- $\pi$  interactions between Trp31, Trp360, and Trp218 and the -3, -1,

bioBioRxiveprepreprintoidottpst//sloi/doigo/10/11/20/22028.08.6329272677bjshierseversiopstesteduku6e2082.20128e Topyoighyrlgohldeolderthis thresprep(which (whickswas cettifestifesd the preeview) esv) is that autificandian denote how has igna great teid River Railice his entered top day the prior imperpretvies ulty's line deade available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

+1, and +2 sugars, respectively. **B)** PDB ID: 8GCA, chain A with GlcNAc<sub>6</sub> modeled for viewing simplicity. Stick representation highlighting the stabilizing hydrogen bond interactions between the -1 sugar and Asp138 (2.6 Å) and Asp213 (3.4 Å), and between the +1 sugar and Tyr141 (3.0 Å). Glu140 is 2.8 Å from the glycosidic oxygen bridging the -1 and +1 sugars. **C)** PDB ID: 8FRA, chains C (left) and D (right). Stick representation highlighting the stabilizing hydrogen bond interactions that we hypothesize stabilize the +1 sugar (left; chain A) and the +1' sugar-binding subsite (right; chain B).

bio Bio Rxive preprint in bio Rxiv



bio Bio Rxive preprint in bio Rxiv



bioBlor preprint indidbit pst//stall.doi.org/10/10/11/20/23026.06.64/23426775 jst viers was posted teld here 2022 2012 Be Exposing of the print interprint (which swas pertified the print interprint interprint



Supplemental Figure 7 | Ringer analysis of catalytic triad confirms alternative Asp138 conformations.

A) Ringer analysis to detect alternative conformations in electron density maps. Ringer detected one peak for Asp136 at  $\chi_1 = 180^\circ$  and Glu140 at  $\chi_1 = 300^\circ$ , indicating only one conformation, whereas two peaks were detected for Asp138 at  $\chi_1 = 180^\circ$  and  $\chi_1 = 300^\circ$ , indicating two alternative conformations. B) Stick representation of Asp136, Asp138, and Glu140 with 2mFo-DFc map volume shown as a 1.2 Å contour (blue).

## Acknowledgments

#### General

We are grateful to Aashish Manglik and Mingliang Jin for providing ExpiCHO-S cells; to Liam McKay and Jose Luis Olmos, Jr. for support with the X-ray crystallography facility at UCSF; to George Meigs for assistance with X-ray data collection at ALS 8.3.1.; to Tzanko Doukov for assistance with X-ray data collection at SSRL 12-2; to Eric Greene, Duncan Muir, Stephanie Wankowicz, and Benjamin Barad for helpful discussions and critical feedback. Structural biology applications used at UCSF were compiled and configured by SBGrid.<sup>55</sup>

## Funding

This work was supported, in part, by California's Tobacco Related Disease Research Program (TRDRP) grant T29IP0554 (J.S.F). Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute of the National Institutes of Health under award number R01HL148033 (S.J.V.D., J.S.F.). Beamline 8.3.1 at the Advanced Light Source is operated by the University of California Office of the President, Multicampus Research Programs and Initiatives grant MR-15-328599, the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under award numbers R01GM124149 and P30GM124169, Plexxikon Inc., and the Integrated Diffraction Analysis Technologies program of the US Department of Energy Office of Biological and Environmental Research. The crystallographic data was collected using beamlines at the Advanced Light Source, and the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource. The Advanced Light Source (Berkeley, CA) is a national user facility operated by Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory on behalf of the US Department of Energy under contract number DE-AC02-05CH11231, Office of Basic Energy Sciences. Use of the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences under Contract No. DE-AC02-76SF00515. The SSRL Structural Molecular Biology Program is supported by the DOE Office of Biological and Environmental Research, and by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under award number P41GM103393. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship Program under Grant No. 1650113 (R.E.D.). Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation. R.E.D. is a Howard Hughes Medical Institute Gilliam Fellow. R.M.L. is supported by the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

#### **Competing interests**

S.J.V.D. and R.M.L. are listed as inventors on a patent for the use of chitinases to treat fibrotic lung disease. S.J.V.D., R.M.L., and J.S.F. are listed as inventors on a patent for mutant chitinases with enhanced expression and activity.