1	Adaptation of the binding domain of <i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i> S-layer
2	protein as a molecular tag for affinity chromatography development.
3	Emanuel J. Muruaga ^{1,2} , Paula J. Uriza ^{1,2} , Gonzalo A. K. Eckert ^{1,2} , María V. Pepe ^{1,2} , Cecilia M. Duarte ^{1,2} ,
4	Mara S. Roset ^{1,2} * and Gabriel Briones ^{1,2} *.
5	
6	1. Instituto de Investigaciones Biotecnológicas, Universidad Nacional de San Martín (UNSAM)- Consejo
7	Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET). 2. Escuela de Bio y Nanotecnologías
8	(EByN), Universidad Nacional de San Martín.
9	
10	Running title: The SLAP _{TAG} affinity chromatography (SAC)
11	
12	Keywords: Protein purification- Affinity chromatography- The SLAP _{TAG} .
13	
14	*Corresponding author
15	
16	Mailing address: Instituto de Investigaciones Biotecnológicas, Universidad Nacional de San Martín (IIB-
17	UNSAM), Av. 25 de Mayo y Francia, Campus Migueletes, CP1650, San Martín, Buenos Aires, Argentina.
18	Tel.: +54 11 4006-1500 (Int 2139); Fax: + 54 11 (5411) 4006-1559.
19	E-mail: gbriones@iib.unsam.edu.ar, mroset@iib.unsam.edu.ar
20	

21 Abstract

22 The SLAP_{TAG} is a novel molecular TAG derived from a protein domain present in the sequence of Lactobacillus acidophilus SlpA (SlpA²⁸⁴⁻⁴⁴⁴). Proteins from different biological sources, with different 23 24 molecular weights or biochemical functions, can be fused in frame to the SLAP_{TAG} and efficiently purified 25 by the specific binding to a bacterial-derived chromatographic matrix named here Bio-Matrix (BM). 26 Different binding and elution conditions were evaluated to set an optimized protocol for the SLAP_{TAG}-27 based affinity chromatography (SAC). The binding equilibrium between SLAP_{TAG} and BM was reached after a few minutes at 4°C, being the apparent dissociation constant (K_D) of 4.3 µM, a value which is similar to 28 29 different Kd determined for other S-layer proteins and their respective bacterial cell walls. A reporter 30 protein was generated (H_6 -GFP-SLAP_{TAG}) to compare the efficiency of the SAC against a commercial 31 system based on a Ni²⁺-charged agarose matrix, observing no differences in the H₆-GFP-SLAP_{TAG} 32 purification performance. The stability and reusability of the BM were evaluated, and it was determined 33 that the matrix was stable for more than a year, being possible to reuse it five times without a significant loss in the efficiency for protein purification. Alternatively, we explored the recovery of bound SLAP-34 35 tagged proteins by proteolysis using the SLAP_{ASE} (a SLAP-tagged version of the HRV-3c protease) that 36 released a tag-less GFP (SLAP_{TAG}-less). Additionally, iron nanoparticles were linked to the BM and the 37 resulting BM_{mag} was successfully adapted for a magnetic SAC, a technique that can be potentially applied 38 for high-throughput-out protein production and purification.

39

40

41

42 Introduction

- Proteins are biopolymers formed by a particular amino acid sequence that determines a given atomic spatial distribution, also known as protein conformation. Far from being a static structure, proteins behave as nano-machines performing precise molecular activities due to internal movements of the protein parts or protein domains ¹. In addition, proteins can interact intramolecularly or intermolecularly with different macromolecules such as proteins, DNA, RNA, polysaccharides, or small compounds ².
- Proteins perform vital functions in life. For instance, some proteins degrade aliments to produce essential nutrients (like digestive enzymes), others transport critical compounds for supporting life (like hemoglobin that transports oxygen to the cells), or shape the cellular structure (like actin, tubulin, or keratin), or
- 51 function as hormones (like insulin), or defense the organism against pathogens (like the antibodies)².
- In addition to the multiplicity of existent polypeptides in nature, molecular biology and biotechnology have
 generated a myriad of chimeric proteins by combining different protein domains ³. These artificial proteins
 can be used as therapeutic tools to treat cancer, autoimmunity, or different medical conditions ⁴.
- However, to gain value in therapy, in biochemical, industrial, or medical applications proteins require a highly purified, stable, and concentrated state. This state is reached by a series of steps in the process of separating the desired protein from the rest of all other proteins of a sample, free of contaminants, and preserving its biological activity. The purification process exploits differences in size, charge, hydrophobicity, ligand binding affinity, or specific sequences^{5 6 7}. Several fractionations o chromatographic steps can be combined for an efficient enrichment or purification of a particular protein.
- Affinity chromatography is a special type of liquid chromatography that exploits the existence of natural ⁸ or artificial ⁹ affinities between two moieties: the molecular target (or tag) and its ligand (the affinity ligand) which is usually immobilized onto a chromatographic stationary phase to generate a chromatography matrix. Thus, proteins of interest can be fused in-frame to different molecular tags (such as His-6X, GST, MBP, etc.) expressed and purified ideally in a single step from a complex mixture of proteins.

Since it was developed by Starkestein in 1910¹⁰, affinity chromatography was gaining popularity and centrality for many industrial processes such as the purification of proteins for diagnosis, research, and therapeutic purposes ¹¹. Regulatory requirements for the purity and quality of the proteins vary greatly depending on the area of application. For instance, bio-products can be used with little purification for industrial use. Also, the recombinant protein produced for research, diagnosis, or non-clinical purposes has a less stringent regulatory approval process than proteins designed as biopharmaceuticals.

72 The most common strategy for affinity chromatography is the ON/OFF format. In the "ON" phase, a biological sample containing the protein of interest (that can be fused-in-frame to a molecular tag) is 73 74 formulated in a specific application buffer that will favor the binding process to a ligand. Then, the sample 75 is placed in contact with a chromatography material (or chromatography matrix) that has associated the 76 affinity ligand that consequently will recognize and retains the tagged protein. After the washes in the "OFF" 77 phase, an elution buffer is passed or incubated to release the tagged protein by changing the pH, the ionic 78 strength that modifies the affinity of the interaction (non-specific elution) or the addition of the free ligand 79 that will out-compete the retained tagged protein (bio-specific elution)¹¹.

80 The simplicity and specificity of affinity chromatography have made this technique central for the 81 purification of biomolecules and biopharmaceuticals.

82 Here, we explored the adaptation of a protein domain present in the carboxy terminus of the Lactobacillus acidophilus protein SIpA as a molecular tag. In silico analysis of this region (SIpA²⁸⁴⁻⁴⁴⁴) allowed us to identify 83 84 a tandem of two copies of the 60-aminoacid protein domain named SLP-A (pfam03217) which is necessary 85 for the association (Fig. Supp. 1). Here, this dual SLP-A domain was named SLAP_{TAG}, and the adaptation as a 86 molecular tag was evaluated. Recently, we have characterized the binding properties of the SLAP_{TAG} and 87 characterized its association with the cell wall of live Lactobacillus for vaccine purposes ¹². Thus, the SLAP_{TAG} 88 was fused in frame to a chimeric antigen derived from the Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli (STEC) formed by the peptides EspA^{36–192}, Intimin^{653–953} and Tir^{240–378} (or EIT). The resulting chimeric antigen (EIT-89 90 SLAP_{TAG}) recombinantly expressed and purified was able to associate with the bacterial cell wall of L.

- 91 acidophilus, a process that we named decoration. Thus, EIT-decorated L. acidophilus after oral
- administration was able to deliver the EIT antigen to the intestinal mucosa eliciting a protective immune
- 93 response that controls an experimental STEC infection in mice ¹². Remarkably, the decoration process does
- 94 not modify genetically the *Lactobacillus* genome preserving its GRAS (Generally Recognized as Safe) status,
- 95 a trait that is important for vaccine purposes.
- 96 Here, we explore the adaptation of the SLAP_{TAG} for the development of novel affinity chromatography, the
- 97 SLAP affinity chromatography (SAC), and a comprehensive protocol is presented.

98 Results

99 SLAP_{TAG} binds rapidly and efficiently to the Bio-matrix (BM)

As described in the Methods section the SLAP_{TAG} (Fig. Supp.1) was fused in-frame to the carboxy-terminus of the green fluorescent protein (GFP) to generate the chimeric protein (H₆)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG} adapted here as a SLAP_{TAG} reporter protein. As shown in Fig. 1A the structure of the chimeric protein (H₆)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG} was predicted based on the alphaFold-2 method ¹³. The beta-barrel corresponding to GFP and the two globular domains corresponding to SLAP_{TAG} were predicted with high performance and as expected, linkers and 6xHis-tag, which are flexible regions, showed low prediction values.



106

As a working chromatographic matrix, a culture of *Bacillus subtilis* natto was processed as described in the Methods section to generate a bacterial-derived affinity chromatography matrix, named here Bio-Matrix (BM). Interestingly, although *B. subtilis* has no S-layer, we have demonstrated previously that this bacterium can be externally covered with SLAP-tagged proteins to generate a recombinant S-layer on its bacterial cell

wall, a process that we called decoration ¹². Decoration of *B. subtilis* with SLAP-tagged proteins is possible
 because teichoic acid and lipoteichoic acid (which are the molecules responsible for the SLAP_{TAG} association)
 have the same chemical composition as *Lactobacillus acidophilus*. Interestingly, in *B. subtilis* and *L. acidophilus*, teichoic acid and lipoteichoic acid are distributed homogeneously on their cell wall.

115 To characterize the binding properties of the SLAP_{TAG}, the reporter protein (H_6) -GFP-SLAP_{TAG}, was 116 recombinantly expressed in Escherichia coli, purified by affinity chromatography mediated by its Hisx6 tag 117 (H_6) , and further incubated with BM under a variety of conditions. Initially, as shown in Fig. 1B, the optimal 118 binding temperature of (H_6)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG} to the BM was evaluated, finding that the best binding efficiency 119 was reached when the incubation was performed at 4°C. To get insights into the SLAP_{TAG} association 120 dynamics, (H_6)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG} was incubated for 5, 30, and 60 minutes with BM, and as shown in Fig. 1C, the 121 maximal binding of (H₆)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG} to BM was reached very rapidly (already at 5 minutes), showing no 122 significant increments in its association at longer time points. These results indicated that the SLAP_{TAG} binds 123 very rapidly and with an apparent high affinity to the BM. To quantify the affinity of the interaction between 124 the SLAP_{TAG} with the BM, an adsorption isotherm was performed to determine the apparent equilibrium 125 dissociation constant as described in the Methods section. As shown in Fig. 1D the apparent K_D was 126 estimated as 4.7 μ M. Interestingly, this dissociation constant value was in the same order as other K_D described for different microbial S-layer proteins with their respective bacterial cell walls ^{14–16}. In addition, 127 128 the maximum adsorption capacity (Bmax) of BM was estimated as 1.152 mmol of (H_6) -GFP-SLAP_{TAG} or 53.9 129 mg of protein per milliliter of BM or 1.03 g of SLAP_{TAG} protein/g of dry BM.

130 Elution of (H₆)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG} protein can be performed with different buffers.

As it was mentioned, the SLAP_{TAG} contains the protein region responsible for the association of the protein SIPA to the *L. acidophilus* cell wall. As reported, *L. acidophilus* SIPA has the natural ability to self-assemble on the bacterial surface to generate a proteinaceous layer named S-layer ¹⁷, a highly ordered wall structure that functions as a protective barrier against bacteriophages, resistance to low pH and proteases, and bacterial adhesion. It is well characterized that removal of the S-layer can be performed efficiently by the 136 addition of chaotropic agents like LiCl, a compound that disrupts hydrogen bonds leading to a partial 137 denaturation of proteins and the consequent detachment of the S-layer 17 . To study (H₆)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG} 138 elution, LiCl solution was selected as the positive control. As shown in Fig. 2A while PBS (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 139 mM KCl, 8 mM Na2HPO4, and 2 mM KH2PO4) does not affect elution, the detachment of BM-associated 140 (H_6) -GFP-SLAP_{TAG} was achieved with high efficiency with a 2M LiCl solution at room temperature, in a short lapse of five minutes (Fig. 2B). As it was mentioned above, lithium solutions have deleterious effects on 141 142 proteins, which is an undesired effect for protein purification, especially for enzyme purification. Therefore, 143 different milder alternatives of buffers were explored to elute SLAP_{TAG}-tagged proteins, conditions that can 144 preserve the protein structure and therefore their function. As shown in Fig. 2C, a series of sugars (monosaccharide and disaccharides) described previously ¹⁸ were tested for the elution of the reporter SLAP 145 146 observing a partial elution efficiency when compared with the LiCl elution control (Fig. 2C). Next, the 147 cationic nature of the SLAP_{TAG} at neutral pH was considered.



149



- 160 SLAP_{TAG} in the eluate. Interestingly, 200 mM of NaCl is the same concentration present in the
- 161 binding/washing buffer that has no effect in detaching SLAP-tagged proteins.
- 162 The optimized SAC protocol allowed the efficient purification of proteins with similar efficiency to the
- 163 high-performance Ni²⁺-charged agarose matrix (IMAC).





With all the experimental information obtained, an optimized SAC protocol for the purification of SLAPtagged proteins was established as shown in Fig. 3A. Remarkably, the entire process of protein purification can be performed in 15 minutes. To have a direct observation of the purification process of (H₆)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG}, the BM was fixed and immobilized on coverslips at different steps of the process of protein

purification to be observed by confocal microscopy. As shown in Fig. 3B, before the incubation of BM with (H₆)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG}, only a red native autofluorescence can be observed from fixed *Bacillus subtilis* natto cells present in BM. Remarkably, after the incubation of BM with (H₆)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG}, it was possible to detect the adhesion of the reporter protein to the BM forming a recombinant fluorescent S-layer that covers completely the bacterial surface (Fig. 3C). As expected, after the addition of the elution buffer the (H₆)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG} was completely removed from the bacterial surface (Fig. 3D). In addition, the whole process of purification can also be monitored by direct observation under UV light (Fig. 3E)

Since SAC was efficient in protein purification, we compared this new technique against an established affinity purification protocol. For this, we took advantage of (H₆)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG} also has a His-tag that can be purified by a Metal-Affinity-Chromatography or IMAC. As described in Materials and Methods, a bacterial lysate (H₆)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG} was divided into two fractions and both protocols were performed accordingly in parallel, confirming that SAC optimized protocol was able to purify (H₆)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG} with high efficiency (Table Supp. 1 and Fig. 3F) and with a similar yield to the one obtained with the commercial IMAC (Fig. 3G).

Proteins of different biological sources, molecular weights, biochemical functions, or expressed by procaryotic or eukaryotic expression systems can be efficiently purified by the SAC.

To evaluate if SLAP_{TAG} and SAC can be adapted as a universal protein purification system, a set of the selected proteins were fused to the SLAP_{TAG} (Fig. 4).



- 187 Thus, bacterial proteins like *Salmonella* flagellin (Fig.5A), the STEC proteins, EspA (Fig. 5B), the chimeric EIT
- 188 (Fig. 5C), the *B. abortus* Omp19 (Fig. 5D), the bacteriophage protein T7-lysozyme (Fig. 5E), the human viral
- proteins Rhinovirus 3C Protease (Fig. 5E), the mouse Galectin-8 (Fig. 5G) and the commercial molecular tag
- 190 GST (Fig. 5H), were fused in frame with the SLAP_{TAG}.



As shown in Fig. 5, all the selected proteins were purified efficiently with SAC. In addition to the bacterial expression system, different protein expressions systems (yeast and mammalian cells) were also evaluated (Fig. 6). As shown in Fig. 6A the viral HRV 3C protease fused to the SLAP_{TAG} was expressed and purified from *Pichia pastoris* supernatant. Also, the SARS-CoV2 SPIKE fused to the SLAP_{TAG} was purified from the supernatant of transfected HEK293 (Fig. 6B). These results confirmed that the SLAP_{TAG} can be widely adopted for affinity chromatography purification.





As described in the Methods section a batch of BM was produced, and several aliquots were frozen at -20°C to study time stability. As shown in Fig. 7A, at different times, a few aliquots were unfrozen and tested for protein purification of the reporter protein (H₆)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG} being the BM stable for more than a year that was tested (14 months). In addition, BM reusability capacity was evaluated determining that the matrix can be reused five times with no modification of the protein purification yield (Fig. 7B).



206 Use of the Human Rhinovirus 3C protease fused to the SLAP_{TAG} (SLAP_{ASE}) to release the SLAP-tagged 207 proteins from BM.

As shown in Fig. 4 and 5 the gene sequence of the viral protease HRV-3C was fused to the SLAP_{TAG} (named SLAP_{ASE}), recombinantly expressed, and purified (Fig. 8B and 8D, lane SLAPase) to evaluate its activity. A

210 reporter protein for SLAPASE activity was generated (GFP-LEVLFQGP-SLAPTAG) (Table 1) and a protocol for tag-211 removal by SLAPase was set as described in the Methods section. As shown in Fig. 8A the GFP-LEVLFQGP-SLAP_{TAG} was expressed (Fig 8B and Fig. 8C, lane Input or IN) and mixed with the BM for 5 minutes allowing 212 the binding process. After binding, a purified SLAP_{ASE} was added to the mix and incubated for 60 minutes 213 214 releasing a tag-less GFP (Fig. 8B and Fig 8C, Fig 8E, lanes flow-through or FT) by proteolysis. Cut SLAP_{TAG} is 215 not observed in the flow-through indicating that was retained by the BM along with the SLAPase. To confirm 216 these steps, post-proteolysis BM was incubated with LiCl solution to recover any residual bound SLAP-217 tagged protein. As shown in Fig. 8B and 8D (lane Elution or E), the LiCl solution released the SLAPase and 218 the cut SLAP_{TAG}.



219

220 Adaptation of SAC to magnetic affinity chromatography.

Since we confirmed the efficiency and universality of SAC for the purification of recombinant proteins, we explored a magnetic affinity chromatography alternative for SAC (Fig. 9A). As shown in Fig. 9B, 9C, and Supplementary Video-1 the (H₆)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG} was purified in a few and easy steps. As shown in the SDS-

224 PAGE (Fig. 9B) the adapted SAC protocol for magnetic chromatography (BM_{mag}) was able to purify the 225 reporter protein (H₆)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG} very efficiently (Fig. 9B, lane Elution or E). Interestingly, this protocol can 226 be adapted to commercial devices that use magnetic force for protein or DNA purification (like King Fisher 227 Flex from ThermoFisher). In Fig. 9C the entire process of purification was monitored by direct observation 228 under UV light (Supp. video 1). Noteworthy, the binding of the BM_{mag} to GFP quenched the fluorescence of this protein, an effect that was described for transition metal binding to GFP or binding of iron cations to 229 fluorescent proteins (Fig. 9C, tube IN+BM_{mag})^{19,20}. 230

231



234

235 Discussion

236 The B. subtilis-derived matrix, named here as Bio-Matrix (BM), showed a high performance in its binding 237 and elution capacities, combined with good purification parameters for our reporter protein (H_6)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG}. Results presented here show that the SAC protocol can be potentially adapted as a universal tool 238 239 for recombinant protein purification. Thus, proteins from different origins, with different molecular 240 weights, or produced by different recombinant expression systems (bacteria, yeast, or cells) can be 241 efficiently purified by SAC. In addition to its universal application, we demonstrate here that SAC was able 242 to achieve a protein yield similar to those obtained by commercial affinity chromatography systems such 243 as the Immobilized Metal Affinity Chromatography or IMAC. As reported, in protein production bioprocess, 244 chromatography is the most expensive step²¹. Of interest, the SAC protocol only uses simple and low-cost reagents, consequently presenting an economic advantage in protein purification over current commercial 245 246 systems. In addition, since the Biomatrix is "grown" instead of being chemically synthesized, the production 247 of larger quantities of chromatography matrix can be achieved easily by simply scaling up the volume of the 248 bioreactor. In addition, small research labs can easily produce their version of the in-house of BM by the 249 protocol provided here.

250 One critical step in the generation of the affinity matrix is the immobilization of the affinity ligand to the 251 chromatography matrix. Initially, at the beginning of the affinity chromatography development, the 252 immobilization of affinity ligands was performed by covalent modification using diazo coupling ¹¹. This 253 procedure allows to immobilize different haptens or certain proteins to isolate antibodies. A second 254 breakthrough in affinity chromatography was the development of the cyanogen bromide (CNBr) 255 immobilization method which allows the easy cross-link of proteins or peptides to the activated agarose matrix¹¹. These two major advances were combined in 1969 by Cuatreacasas et al where the term affinity 256 chromatography was used for the first time ²². 257

In contrast, in Bio-Matrix affinity ligands (LTA and teichoic acid) are naturally integrated into the surface of
 the BM ¹⁷ and consequently, no chemical reactions to cross-link the affinity ligands to BM are required.
 Remarkably, no toxic chemicals or solvents are required in BM production.

From all the supports used as a matrix in affinity chromatography ¹¹, the polysaccharide agarose is the most 261 262 frequently used due to its low cost, large pores size, very low non-specific binding, and great stability over 263 a broad pH range. However, agarose has limited mechanical stability at high operating pressures that limit the use of this matrix as support in high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) ¹¹. Initially, other 264 polysaccharides have also been adapted as supports in affinity chromatography like cellulose. Although 265 266 cellulose displays a lower surface area and mechanical stability than agarose because of its low back 267 pressure is a very useful tool used in preparative chromatography at high flow rates when used in 268 membrane-based affinity separations¹¹. In addition, carbohydrate-based supports combined with high-269 dense core material such as quartz, have been adapted in expanded-bed adsorption (EBA) chromatography 270 ¹¹. Expanded-bed adsorption (EBA) chromatography is an excellent option for the capture of proteins directly from unclarified crude samples (such as a CHO cell supernatant)²³. In EBA, the chromatography 271 272 matrix (chromatographic bed) is initially expanded by an upward flow of the equilibration buffer²⁴. A crude 273 sample, that might have a mixture of soluble proteins, contaminants, entire cells, and cell debris along with 274 the protein of interest (POI) is then passed upward through the expanded bed. In this process, POIs are 275 captured on the adsorbent, while undesired particulates, aggregates, and contaminants pass through ²⁴. 276 Then, the replacement of binding buffer/washing buffer by an upward flow of elution buffer will result in 277 POI desorption. Finally, when the flow is reversed, the adsorbent particle can be separated by sedimentation and desorbed POI can be recovered for further purification steps²⁴. 278

EBA has been demonstrated to be a useful method, particularly for protein capture in a continuous protein
 purification process from unclarified feedstocks²⁵ in the recovery of enzymes and therapeutic proteins from
 a variety of expression hosts²⁵. Interestingly, the use of liquid magnetically stabilized fluidized beds (MSFBs)
 has been explored for EBA ²⁶. Magnetically susceptible chromatography supports are forced to low back-

283 mixing by applying a weak, external magnetic field that oriented the magnetic particles axially or 284 transversely relative to the flow ²⁵. This technique also gives great opportunities for process integration by 285 achieving particulate removal and the capture of the product desired in a single operation^{25 26}.

As shown here, SAC is an adsorption affinity chromatography, that was able to efficiently capture SLAPtagged proteins directly from different feeds like bacterial lysates, *Pichia pastoris* supernatant, or HEK293 cells supernatant in a single step. In addition, a magnetically susceptible biomatrix was generated that still was able to capture SLAP-tagged proteins. Both results made SAC an interesting technique for EBA or EBA/MSFBs adaptation.

In the last decade, the introduction of single-use technologies has enlightened the potential for reduced regulatory and operational costs associated with chromatography. The researchers point to its potential for simpler operation, shorter processing times, and decreased buffer consumption, leading to better economics. ²⁷. Also, the lack of need for cleaning over repeat-use cycles significantly reduces costs. SAC could be a single-use alternative chromatography for some industries, with the benefit of being more ecofriendly than those available on the market, as it is a biologically based and biodegradable matrix.

SAC proved to successfully adapt to protease tag removal. Although new technologies are being developed
 for tag removal (e.g., inteins), the enzymatic cleavage of the tag is still preferred as it is the most controlled
 process, with no premature cleaving and the best yields are obtained²⁸. Moreover, new technologies might
 be compatible with SAC.

Therefore, we propose that SLAP_{TAG} affinity chromatography for protein purification in industries with permissive regulations. SAC can be adapted as an in-house protein purification system, available for any laboratory around the globe, to produce pure recombinant proteins for research, diagnosis, and the food industry. Although so far regulatory issues might preclude the use of the SAC for proteins used as biotherapeutics, new efforts have been performed to develop a new version of matrix chromatography suitable for more stringent industrial or clinical purposes.

307

308 Methods

309 Strains and plasmids. All the bacterial strains and plasmids used here were summarized in Table 1. Bacteria 310 Escherichia coli and Bacillus subtilis strains were grown in Luria Bertani (LB) medium (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, 311 United States) at 37°C and 180 rpm. Bacterial plasmid vectors were transformed into *E. coli* DH5α or *E. coli* 312 BL21 (DE3) for protein expression. Pichia pastoris was grown in Yeast Extract-Peptone-Dextrose 313 (YPD) medium at 28°C 180 rpm. HEK293F cells were maintained at 37°C in a 5% CO2 atmosphere in Dulbecco 314 modified Eagle medium (DMEM) supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum and streptomycin (50 µg/ml)-315 penicillin (50 U/ml). The SARS-CoV-2 Spike ectodomain Hexa-pro construction (Table 1) was a gift from Jason McLellan (Addgene # 154754; http://n2t.net/addgene:154754; RRID: addgene_154754)²⁹. 316

317 Cloning

318 Lysozyme gene was amplified from the pLysS plasmid (Millipore Sigma-Novagen) with the oligonucleotide 319 Fw-lys-Ndel (CCCATATGGCTCGTGTACAGTTTAAACAACGTG) primers and Rv-lys-Sall 320 (CGGTCGACTCCACGGTCAGAAGTGACCAGTTCG). The PCR product was digested with the restriction enzymes Ndel and Sall and then cloned into the pLC3-EITH7-SlpA vector in the same restriction sites and the 321 322 recombinant plasmid was transformed into *E. coli* DH5 α . In addition, the lysozyme gene was subcloned into 323 pET28-e GFP-SlpA at the Ndel and Notl restriction sites and transformed into E. coli BL21 by electroporation.

EspA gene was amplified with the following primers: *pRvEspAXbal* (GCTCTAGATTTACCAAGGGATATTGCTG) *and pFwEITSall* (ACGCGTCGACGATATGAATGAGGCATCTAAA). After digestion with *Xba*l and *Sal*l, the gene was cloned into *pGEX-SlpA* (Table 1), which was previously digested with the same enzymes. The plasmid was introduced by electroporation into *E. coli* BL21.

The *have-3c-slap tag* gene was synthesized and cloned in pMAL-c5X and pPICZalfa-B for protein expression
in *E. coli* and *P. pastoris* respectively (Gene Universal, Delaware-USA).

For *P. pastoris* GS115 transformation, 5µg of pPICZalfa B – *hrv-3c* plasmid was linearized with *Sac*I restriction
enzyme and transformed into cells through electroporation using 2 mm gap cuvettes (1500 V, 125 X, 50 IF).
Transformed cells were selected by plating on a YPD medium containing Zeocin 100ug/ml for resistance
selection. Isolated colonies were further grown in test tubes containing YPD broth and after 24 h, protein
expression was induced by adding 1% (v/v) pure methanol every 24 h. Supernatants were sampled after 72
h of induction and the best producer clones were chosen for further experiments.

The pET28-eGFP-SLAP was digested with *BamH*I and *Xho*I restriction enzymes and the SLAP_{TAG}-containing band was subcloned into SARS-CoV-2 S HexaPro plasmid (Addgene#154754) with the same restriction sites.

338 Protein expression

339 E. coli BL21 were grown at 37°C 180 rpm in liquid LB supplemented with antibiotics until they reached OD₆₀₀

340 = 0.6. Then, 0.1 mM IPTG was added, and bacteria were grown for another 20 hours at 18°C 180 rpm. Finally,

bacteria were harvested and lyzed by sonication. The bacterial lysates were clarified by centrifugation.

- For Pichia, pastoris protein expression cells were induced with methanol. Briefly, *P. pastoris* cultures were grown in 50 ml of YPD for 48 h. until the dextrose was consumed. Then, methanol pulses (200ul) were supplied every 24 h for 5 days. Finally, *P. pastoris* were harvested, and supernatants were collected.
- HEK293 cells were transfected using polyethyleneimine (PEI) for protein expression. Briefly, thirty thousand
 cells per well were seeded in 24 well plates and incubated at 37 °C, 5% CO₂ for 24 h. For transfection, 4μl of
 PEI was diluted in 40μl of DMEM. 500 ng of DNA was added, and the transfection mix was incubated for 20
 min at room temperature. Then, the transfection mix was transferred to the cells and incubated for 48 h.
 Finally, the supernatant was collected to check protein expression.

350 6xHis-Tag purification method

351 Purification of 6xHis-tagged proteins was carried out according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, 352 benchtop columns were equilibrated with the binding buffer (200 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5). Columns 353 were loaded with the bacterial lysate. After being washed, columns were eluted in steps with 10, 50-, 100-, 300-, and 500-mM imidazole in the equilibration buffer. When compared with the SAC, the IMAC batch 354 355 format was adopted. Thus, Ni-NTA superflow resin (Qiagen) was equilibrated with buffer (200 mM NaCl, 50 356 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5) in 1,5 ml tubes. Cleared bacterial lysates were loaded and mixed in an orbital shaker for 357 1 hour at 4ºC. Samples were centrifugated and, after being washed, the resin was eluted with 500 mM 358 imidazole in an equilibration buffer.

359 Bio-Matrix preparation

B. subtilis natto was grown in 200 mL of Luria-Bertani broth at 37°C 180 rpm for 48 hrs. Then, the culture was
centrifugated and the bacteria were washed twice with PBS. The culture was resuspended in PBS with 2%
glutaraldehyde and incubated overnight with soft agitation. Next, fixed bacteria were washed twice with PBS
and stored in 20 % ethanol. 1mL of BM correspond to DO600=30 of B. subtilis.

BM was weighted in a drying scale (KERN MLS-D) and a calibration curve for dry weight vs optical density was
 performed.

366 SLAP_{TAG} purification method

To purify the SLAP-tagged proteins, BM was equilibrated in binding buffer (200 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5). Then, samples were incubated with the BM at different times and temperatures. Samples were centrifuged (8000 rpm) and the BM was washed 3 times with binding buffer. Finally, samples were incubated at different times and temperatures with the corresponding solution for elution. Proteins expressed in HEK293 and *P. pastoris* were purified from the supernatant with the final protocol described in this paper.

372 Biomatrix time stability and reusability.

To analyze stability in time, aliquots of BM were frozen at -20°C. At different times, samples were unfrozen and used for purifying GFP-SLAP following the protocol developed in this paper. To analyze reusage, an aliquot of BM was used for purifying GFP-SLAP following the protocol developed in this paper. After elution, the BM was washed with two volumes of elution Buffer (Bicarbonate Buffer pH 10, 200 mM NaCl) and then two volumes of binding buffer (200 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5). The cleaning process was repeated each time after elution.

379 Protein analysis

Protein samples were dissolved in a cracking buffer and incubated for 5 min at 100°C. Protein electrophoresis
 was performed at 120V on 12% SDS-PAGE gel. Gels were stained in a Coomassie-Blue solution (20%
 methanol, 10% acetic acid).

For Western Blot analysis, proteins were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane for 55 min at 15V using a semi-dry electroblotting transfer unit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, United States). Membranes were incubated for 1 hour with blocking buffer (1% dry skim milk, 0,1% Tween in PBS). Then, membranes were incubated for 1 hour with primary antibody diluted in blocking buffer (1/500). After washing with PBS-0,1% Tween, membranes were incubated for 1 hour with IRDye fluorophore-labeled secondary antibodies (LI-COR, Lincoln, NE, United States) diluted in a blocking buffer (1/20000). Finally, membranes were scanned using the Odyssey Imaging System (LI-COR).

390 Fluorescence measurements

391 GFP fluorescence measurements were performed at 485/535nm excitation-emission wavelength
 392 respectively using FilterMax F5 Microplate Reader in Black 96 Well Plates (Thermo).

393 Protein modeling

(H₆)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG} structure was predicted by AlphaFold¹³. ColabFold web interface was employed using
 standard settings (five models and no templates).

396 Adsorption isotherm

397 Adsorption isotherms for (H₆)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG} on BM were performed using batch experiments. BM was 398 equilibrated with binding buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 200 mM NaCl, and pH 7.6). Purified (H₆)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG} 399 protein at 3 mg/ml in binding buffer was used as a stock solution. Different concentrations of protein were 400 incubated with 10 µl of BM. After reaching equilibrium, samples were centrifugated and GFP fluorescence 401 from the supernatant was measured. Unbound protein in equilibrium with the BM was calculated using GFP 402 fluorescence. Bound protein was estimated by the difference between input and unbound protein. The adsorption isotherm data were then fitted to the Langmuir isotherm equation to calculate the parameters 403 404 Qmax and K_D .

405 Confocal Fluorescence Microscopy

Samples were incubated for 30 min in plates treated with poly-L-Lysine (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United State).
After treatment with PFA (4% in PBS), samples were washed twice with PBS. Finally, samples were observed
with a confocal laser-scanning microscope Olympus FV1000 using a PlanApo N (60 × 1.42 NA) oil objective.

409 Cleavage of SLAP_{TAG} with SLAP_{ASE} protease

Cleavage buffer recommended for commercial HRV3c protease was prepared: 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM dithiothreitol. GFP-LEVLFQGP-SLAP_{TAG} protein was bound to the BM. After binding BM was washed with the same buffer thrice at 4°C. SLAP_{ASE} was added and incubated at 4°C. Percolate containing GFP protein without SLAP_{TAG} was recovered. As a control, the protease and SLAP_{TAG} were eluted with Bio-Matrix elution buffer after cleavage.

415 Synthesis of iron nanoparticles

- Iron nanoparticles were synthesized by reverse co-precipitation as described by Nadi et al ³⁰. In summary,
 the precursor was prepared by dissolving 0.89 g of FeCl2.4H2O in 90 mL of water. The sample was incubated
 in stirring for 15 min and sonicated for 10 min to ensure complete dissolution of the salt. The solution was
- then poured over a solution of ammonium hydroxide diluted 1:2 with water and stirred for an hour. Finally,
- 420 the sample was washed repeatedly with deionized water.

421 Magnetic Bio-Matrix generation

422 Briefly, 5 ml of Bio-Matrix were resuspended in PBS and iron nanoparticles were added at a final 423 concentration of 40 g/L. The sample was mixed with gentle stirring for 30 minutes. Then, it was washed 424 repeatedly with PBS 1X and preserved in 20% ethanol.

425 Antibody generation

- 426 Mice were intraperitoneally injected with 10µg of purified GST-SLAP_{TAG} or GFP in aluminum hydroxide (Imject
- 427 Alum ThermoFisher). Two and four weeks later, booster doses of 5µg of protein were administrated.

428 Statistical analysis

429 Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 9 software. Statistical significance was analyzed
430 by one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni.

431 Acknowledgments

- 432 We would like to thank Dr. Juan E. Ugalde for his careful and critical reading of this manuscript.
- 433 This work was supported by grants from the Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Técnológica,
- 434 Buenos Aires, Argentina, PICT-2018-0778, PICT-2021-CAT-I-00049 and CONICET (PUE-0086). E.J.M., P.J.U.,
- and C. M. D. are doctoral fellows from CONICET. MVP is a postdoctoral fellow from the Agencia Nacional de
- 436 Promoción Científica y Tecnológica. M.S.R. and G.B. are members of the Research Career of CONICET.

437 Availability of materials and data

- 438 All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article and its
- 439 supplementary information files.

440

441 References

- 442 1. Wood, E. J. Nature's robots: A history of proteins. *Biochem. Mol. Biol. Educ.* **32**, 282–282 (2004).
- 2. Buxbaum, E. *Enzymes Are Biocatalysts*. *Fundamentals of Protein Structure and Function* (2015).
- 444 doi:10.1007/978-3-319-19920-7_4.
- Baldo, B. A. Chimeric Fusion Proteins Used for Therapy: Indications, Mechanisms, and Safety. *Drug Saf.* 38, 455–479 (2015).
- 447 4. Fei Wen, Sheryl B. Rubin-Pitel, and H. Z. Engineering of Therapeutic Proteins.Chp7. 153–177 (2009).
- 5. Schales, O. Preparation and Properties of Renin. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 64, 561–564 (1942).
- 449 6. Wood, D. W. New trends and affinity tag designs for recombinant protein purification. *Curr. Opin.*450 *Struct. Biol.* 26, 54–61 (2014).
- Freitag, R. & Horváth, C. Chromatography in the downstream processing of biotechnological
 products. *Adv. Biochem. Eng. Biotechnol.* 53, 17–59 (1996).
- 453 8. Kuntz, I. D., Chen, K., Sharp, K. A. & Kollman, P. A. The maximal affinity of ligands. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*454 *Sci. U. S. A.* **96**, 9997–10002 (1999).
- 455 9. Mouratou, B., Béhar, G. & Pecorari, F. Artificial affinity proteins as ligands of immunoglobulins.
 456 *Biomolecules* 5, 60–75 (2015).
- 457 10. Hais, I. M. Biospecific sorption, Prague, 1910: Emil Starkenstein (1884-1942). J. Chromatogr. B
 458 Biomed. Sci. Appl. 376, 5–9 (1986).
- Rodriguez, E. L. *et al.* Affinity chromatography: A review of trends and developments over the past
 50 years. *Journal of Chromatography B: Analytical Technologies in the Biomedical and Life Sciences*vol. 1157 at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2020.122332 (2020).
- 462 12. Uriza, P. J. et al. Development of an Antigen Delivery Platform Using Lactobacillus acidophilus

- 463 Decorated With Heterologous Proteins: A Sheep in Wolf's Clothing Story. *Front. Microbiol.* **11**,
- 464 (2020).
- 465 13. Jumper, J. *et al.* Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* **596**, 583 (2021).
- 466 14. Garduno, R. A., Phipps, B. M. & Kay, W. W. Physical and functional S-layer reconstitution in
 467 Aeromonas salmonicida. *J. Bacteriol.* **177**, 2684–2694 (1995).
- 468 15. Mader, C., Huber, C., Moll, D., Sleytr, U. B. & Sára, M. Interaction of the Crystalline Bacterial Cell
- 469 Surface Layer Protein SbsB and the Secondary Cell Wall Polymer of Geobacillus stearothermophilus
- 470 PV72 Assessed by Real-Time Surface Plasmon Resonance Biosensor Technology. J. Bacteriol. 186,
- 471 1758–1768 (2004).
- 16. Li, J., Hu, X., Yan, J. & Yuan, Z. Species-specific cell wall binding affinity of the S-layer proteins of
- 473 mosquitocidal bacterium Bacillus sphaericus C3-41. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **75**, 3891–3895 (2009).
- 474 17. Lortal, S. et al. S-layer of Lactobacillus helveticus atcc 12046: isolation, chemical characterization and
- 475 *re-formation after extraction with lithium chloride Slayer of Lactobacillus helveticus ATCC 12046:*
- 476 isolation, chemical characterization and re-formation after extraction with lithium chloride. Society
- 477 for General Microbiology vol. 138 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02713428 (1992).
- 478 18. Fina Martin, J. *et al.* Exploring lectin-like activity of the S-layer protein of Lactobacillus acidophilus
 479 ATCC 4356. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **103**, 4839–4857 (2019).
- 480 19. Kim, I. J., Xu, Y. & Nam, K. H. Spectroscopic Analysis of Fe Ion-Induced Fluorescence Quenching of
 481 the Green Fluorescent Protein ZsGreen. J. Fluoresc. 31, 307–314 (2021).
- Richmond, T. A., Takahashi, T. T., Shimkhada, R. & Bernsdorf, J. Engineered metal binding sites on
 green fluorescence protein. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 268, 462–465 (2000).
- 484 21. Wong, F. W. F., Ariff, A. B. & Stuckey, D. C. Downstream protein separation by surfactant
- 485 precipitation: a review. *Crit. Rev. Biotechnol.* **38**, 31–46 (2018).

- 486 22. Cuatrecasas, P., Wilchek, M. & Anfinsen, C. B. Selective enzyme purification by affinity
- 487 chromatography. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **61**, (1968).
- 488 23. Chase, H. A. Purification of proteins by adsorption chromatography in expanded beds. *Trends*489 *Biotechnol.* 12, 296–303 (1994).
- 490 24. Hjorth, R., Leijon, P., Frej, A. K. B. & Jägersten, C. Expanded Bed Adsorption Chromatography. *Biosep.*491 *Bioprocess.* 199–226 (2008) doi:10.1002/9783527619641.ch9.
- 492 25. Schneider, A., Herlevi, L. M., Guo, Y. & Fernandez Lahore, H. M. Perspectives on adsorption
- 493 technology as an effective strategy for continuous downstream bioprocessing. J. Chem. Technol.
- 494 Biotechnol. **97**, 2305–2316 (2022).
- 495 26. Tong, X. D. & Sun, Y. Application of Magnetic Agarose Support in Liquid Magnetically Stabilized
 496 Fluidized Bed for Protein Adsorption. *Biotechnol. Prog.* 19, 1721–1727 (2003).
- 497 27. Hester, J. F., Lu, X., Calhoun, J. D., Hochstein, R. A. & Olson, E. J. Orthogonal pre-use and post-use
 498 efficiency testing for single-use anion exchange chromatography. *J. Chromatogr. A* 1654, 462445
 499 (2021).
- Pina, A. S., Batalha, Í. L. & Roque, A. C. A. Affinity tags in protein purification and peptide
 enrichment: An overview. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **1129**, 147–168 (2014).
- 502 29. Hsieh, C.-L. *et al.* Structure-based design of prefusion-stabilized SARS-CoV-2 spikes. *Science (80-.).* 503 369, 1501–1505 (2020).
- Nadi, A. *et al.* Immobilisation of bacteria onto magnetic nanoparticles for the decolorisation and
 degradation of azo dyes. *IET Nanobiotechnology* **13**, 144–149 (2019).

506

507 Figures legends

508 Figure 1: Characterization of (H₆)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG} binding to Bio-matrix. (A) The AlphaFold2 model of (H₆)-GFP-509 SLAP_{TAG} fusion protein. AlphaFold2 predicted structure was automatically colored by the pLDDT confidence 510 measure. High accuracy is colored in blue while low accuracy is in red. Although SLAP_{TAG} has not been 511 crystallized, structure prediction showed a good performance. (B) Figure compares (H_6)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG} 512 purification yield of SLAP_{TAG}-based affinity chromatography for different temperatures of the binding process. 513 (C) Figure compares (H_6)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG} purification yield of SLAP_{TAG}-based affinity chromatography for 514 different times of binding incubation. (D) Adsorption isotherm of (H_6)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG} onto Bio-Matrix. Asterisk (****) denotes significant differences using the ANOVA method, Bonferroni test (p < 0.0001). 515

Figure 2: Characterization of (H₆)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG} elution. (A) Figure compares (H₆)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG} purification yield of SLAP_{TAG}-based affinity chromatography for different temperatures of elution. (B) Figure compares (H₆)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG} purification yield of SLAP_{TAG}-based affinity chromatography for different times of elution incubation. (C) Figure compares (H₆)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG} purification yield of SLAP_{TAG}-based affinity chromatography system for different eluents. The asterisk denotes significant differences using the ANOVA method, the Bonferroni test (*** p = 0,0003; **** p < 0.0001).

522 Figure 3: Optimized protocol for (H₆)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG} purification using the Bio-matrix and comparison with the high-performance Ni²⁺-charged agarose matrix. (A) Graphical description of the SLAP_{TAG}-based affinity 523 524 chromatography protocol. (B) Confocal microscopy of the Bio-Matrix. Bacillus subtilis red native 525 autofluorescence is observed. (C) Confocal microscopy of (H_6) -GFP-SLAP_{TAG} bound to the Bio-Matrix. GFP is visualized on the Bacillus surface. (D) Confocal microscopy of the Bio-Matrix after elution of (H₆)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG}. 526 (E) Tubes containing purification fractions seen under UV light. GFP input fluorescence is recovered in the 527 528 eluate. (F) Coomassie blue staining analysis of (H₆)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG} scaled purification. BM = Bio-Matrix; MK = 529 protein marker (kDa); IN = input; FT = flowthrough; W = wash; E = elution. (G) Immobilized metal affinity 530 chromatography (IMAC) and SLAPTAG-based affinity chromatography systems are compared in their capacity

to purify (H₆)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG}. Relative fluorescence of total (H₆)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG} recovered in elution fraction is
 shown.

Figure 4: Representation of SLAP-tagged recombinant protein structure. Lys = bacteriophage T4 lysozyme;
GFP = Aequorea victoria green fluorescent protein; GST = glutathione-s-transferase; HRV-3c = human
Rhinovirus 3C Protease; EspA = *E. coli* EspA protein; Omp19 = *B. abortus* Omp19 protein; MBP = maltosebinding protein; Gal8 = mouse Galectin-8; EITH7 = EspA, Intimin and Tir fusion protein from the Shiga-toxin
producing *E. coli*; Spike = SARS-CoV2 Spike protein.

Figure 5: Analysis of different SLAP-tagged protein purifications expressed in *E. coli*. Coomassie Blue stained
SDS-PAGE of purification fractions of SLAP_{TAG}-based affinity chromatography for (A) FliC-SLAP_{TAG} (B) EspASLAP_{TAG} (C) EITH7-SLAP_{TAG} (D) Omp19-SLAP_{TAG} (E) Lys -SLAP_{TAG} (F) SLAP_{ASE} (human rhinovirus 3c fused to
SLAP_{TAG}) (G) Gal8-SLAP_{TAG} (H) GST-SLAP_{TAG}. MK = protein marker (kDa); IN = input; FT = flowthrough; W =
wash; E = elution.

543 Figure 6: Western blot analysis of purification of SLAP-tagged proteins using different expression systems.

(A) Purification of SLAP_{ASE} (human rhinovirus 3c fused to SLAP_{TAG}) protease expressed in *Pichia pastoris*. (B)
Purification of SARS CoV2 Spike-SLAP_{TAG} protein expressed in HEK293 cells. MK = protein marker (kDa); IN =
input; FT = flowthrough; W = wash; E = elution.

Figure 7: Analysis of Bio-Matrix stability in time and reuse. (A) The figure compares (H_6)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG} purification yield of SLAP_{TAG}-based affinity chromatography at different times when it is conserved at -20°C. (B) Figure compares (H_6)-GFP-SLAP_{TAG} purification yield of SLAP_{TAG}-based affinity chromatography for different cycles of reuse. The asterisk denotes a significant difference using the ANOVA method, Bonferroni test (** p = 0,0059; *** p = 0,0002; **** p < 0.0001)

552

Figure 8: SLAP_{TAG} removal. (A) Graphical description of the protocol to remove the SLAP_{TAG} using SLAP_{TAG} based affinity chromatography. SDS-PAGE of purification fractions of SLAP_{TAG} removal protocol stained with

555 Coomassie blue solution (B) or under UV light (C). Western Blot of purification fractions of SLAP_{TAG} removal 556 protocol revealed with anti-SLAPTAG (D) or anti-GFP (E) antibodies. SLAP_{ASE} = purified protease; IN = input; 557 FT = flowthrough; E = elution; MK = protein marker (KDa)

558

559	Figure 9: GFP-SLAP _{TAG} purification using Magnetic Bio-Matrix. (A) Graphical description of the purification		
560	protocol for magnetic Bio-Matrix. (B) Photo of 1,5 tubes ml containing fractions of GFP-SLAP _{TAG} magnetic		
561	purification under UV light. (BM_{mag} = magnetic Bio-Matrix; IN = input; FT = flowthrough; W = wash; E =		
562	elution. (C) Tubes containing magnetic purification fractions are seen under UV light. GFP input		
563	fluorescence is recovered in the eluate.		
564 565	Table S1: Purification table of GFP-SLAPTAG using the Biomatrix		
566	Supplementary Video 1: Magnetic affinity chromatography using BIOMATRIX		

567

TABLE 1: Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study

Strain		
<i>Ε. coli</i> DH5α	F–φ80lacZΔM15 Δ(lacZYA-argF) U169 recA1 endA1 hsdR17(rK–, mK+) phoA supE44λ- thi-1 gyrA96 relA1	Invitrogen
<i>E. coli</i> BL21 Codon plus	[$ompT$ hsdS(rB– mB–) dcm + Tcr gal λ (DE3) endA Hte Cmr]	Stratagen
B. subtilis	Wild type strain var. <i>natto</i>	ATCC 15245
Pichia pastoris	P. pastoris strain GS115	BioRad, USA
Plasmid		
pET28-eGFP-SlpA	A vector containing the GFP gene from Aequorea victoria fused to $SLAP_{TAG}$	18
pET22-eGFP-SlpA	A vector containing the GFP gene from Aequorea victoria fused to LEVLFQGP sequence and ${\rm SLAP}_{\rm TAG}$	This study
pGEX-SlpA	A vector containing GST form Shitosoma japonicum fused to $SLAP_{TAG}$	12
pLC3-EITH7-SlpA	A vector containing EspA-Intimin-Tir fused genes from STEC <i>E. coli</i> fused to SLAP _{TAG}	12
pLC3-Omp19-SlpA	A vector containing the Omp19 gene from Brucella abortus fused to $SLAP_{TAG}$	12
pLC3-FliC-SlpA	A vector containing the FliC gene from Salmonella enterica fused to $SLAP_{TAG}$	12
pLC3-Gal8-SlpA	A vector containing the Gal8 gene from $Mus\ musculus\ fused\ to\ SLAP_{TAG}$	12
pET28-Lys-SlpA	A vector containing the Lysozyme gene from Gallus fused to $SLAP_{TAG}$	This study
pMAL-c5X-HRV3c- SlpA	A vector containing human rhinovirus (HRV) type 14 3C protease gene fused to SLAP _{TAG} (SLAPase)	This study
pGEX-2T-EspA- SlpA	A vector containing the EspA gene from STEC <i>E. coli</i> fused to SLAP _{TAG}	This study
pPICZalphaB- HRV3c-SlpA	A vector containing human rhinovirus (HRV) type 14 3C protease gene fused to SLAP _{TAG} (SLAPase)	This study
Addgene #154754	A vector containing the sequence of SARS CoV2 Spike ectodomain Hexa-pro	29
pSpike-SLAP _{TAG}	Plasmid Addgene #154754 fused with the SLAP _{TAG}	This study
pLysS	Vector for expression of T7 lysozyme.	Novagene